



PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

**THE MANGAUNG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY
AS REPRESENTED BY THE ACTING CITY MANAGER**

Teboho Abel Maine

.....
FULL NAMES

AND

Sabata Enoch Mofokeng

.....,
THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR:

01 July 2021 – 30 June 2022

*Set J.A G.D
MBC*

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

The Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality herein represented by **Teboho Abel Maine** (full name) in his capacity as Acting City Manager. (Hereinafter referred to as the **Employer** or Supervisor)

And

Sabata Enoch Mofokeng (full name) Employee of the Municipality (hereinafter referred to as the **Employee**).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 54A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 and as amended ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the **Employee** to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.4 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems Act.

*SEY T.A
g.o
MBC*

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 communicate the employer's performance expectations and accountabilities to the employee, by specifying objectives and targets as defined in the Integrated Development Plan and the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP);
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which must be in a format substantially compliant to Appendix "A";
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to the position; and
- 2.6 appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of performance,

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 July 2021** and will remain in force until **30 June 2022** where after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan must be concluded between the parties for each of the following financial years or any portion thereof for the duration of the Agreement of Employment
- 3.2 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee's** employment for any reason whatsoever.
- 3.3 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.4 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent

that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents must immediately be revised.

- 3.5 Any significant amendments or deviations must take cognizance of the requirements of section 34 and 42 of the Systems Act, and regulation 4(5) of the Regulations

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) must sets out-

4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and

4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.

- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Performance Plan must :

- a) Be set by the **Employer** in consultation with the **Employee**;
- b) Be based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the **Employer**, and
- c) Include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weightings.

- 4.3 It is agreed that-

- i. The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done.
- ii. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved.
- iii. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved.
- iv. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.

- 4.4 The **Employee**'s performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The **Employee** agrees to participate in the performance management system that the **Employer** adopts or introduces to the Municipality and accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the **Employer**, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.2 The **Employer** must consult the **Employee** about the specific performance standards that are included in the performance management system as applicable to the **Employee**.
- 5.3 The Employee must be assessed on his or her performance in terms of the performance indicators identified in the attached Performance Plan and include =
- a) The Key Performance Areas; and
 - b) Core Managerial Competencies
- 5.4 The Key Performance Areas will make up 80% of the Employee's assessment score, and will contain the following:

Key Performance Areas (80% of Total)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	20%
Municipal Institutional Development and transformation	10%
Local Economic Development (LED)	10%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	50%
Good Governance and Public Participation	10%
Total	100%

- 5.5 The Core Management Criteria (CMC) will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score, and are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected from the list below as agreed between the Employer and Employee

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCR) FOR EMPLOYEES (20% of Total)		
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	✓	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership		10%
Programme and Project Management		10%
Financial Management	compulsory	20%
Change Management		
Knowledge Management		
Service Delivery Innovation		
Problem Solving and Analysis		
People Management and Empowerment	compulsory	15%
Client Orientation and Customer Focus	compulsory	15%
Communication		
Accountability and Ethical Conduct		10%
Policy Conceptualisation and implementation		10%
Mediation Skills		
Advanced Negotiation Skills		
Advanced influencing skills		
Partnership and Stakeholder Relations		10%
Supply Chain Management		
Total percentage	-	100%

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement must sets out -
- a) the standards and procedures for evaluating the **Employee's** performance; and
 - b) the intervals for the evaluation of the **Employee's** performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the **Employer** may in addition review the **Employee's** performance at any stage during the validity of the agreement of Employment
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion, as well as the actions and timeframes agreed to, must be documented in a

2021/2022
MBC
G.O

Personal Development Plan which must be in a format substantially compliant to Annexure "B"

- 6.4 The **Employee's** performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer's** IDP.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - i. An assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan;
 - ii. An assessment of each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed
 - iii. A rating on the five-point scale for each Key Performance Area; and
 - iv. The use of the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final core.
- 6.6. The Core Management Criteria must be assessed –
 - (a) according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
 - (b) with an indicative rating on the five-point scale for each Criteria; and
 - (d) using the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final score.
- 6.7 An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator, which represents the outcome of the performance appraisal, provided that the performance assessment of the Employee will be used on the following rating scale for both Key Performance Indicators and Core Management Criteria

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating				
			1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					

SEM 1 A

9.0

MBC

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating				
			1	2	3	4	5
1	Unacceptable performance	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.					

- 6.8 The performance of the Employee must be evaluated by an evaluation panel constituted in terms of regulation 27 (4)(e) and (f) of the Regulations.

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on any of the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Evaluation	Period	Review Date
First quarter	July – September	October – December
Second quarter	October – December	January – March
Third quarter	January – March	April – June
Fourth quarter	April – June	
Annual Performance Review	July – June	July – September

Provided that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory

- 7.2 The **Employer** shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and feedback must be based on the **Employer's** assessment of the **Employee's** performance.

*Sayfa
9-0
MBC*

7.3 The **Employer** may amend the provisions of Performance Plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

8. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

8.1 The Employer must –

- 8.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
- 8.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 8.1.3 work collaboratively with the **Employee** to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the **Employee**;
- 8.1.4 on the request of the **Employee** delegate such powers reasonably required by the **Employee** to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 8.1.5 make available to the **Employee** such resources as the **Employee** may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

9. CONSULTATION

9.1 The **Employer** agrees to consult the **Employee** timeously where the exercising of the powers will–

- a. have a direct effect on the performance of any of the **Employee's** functions;
- b. commit the **Employee** to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the **Employer**; and
- c. have a substantial financial effect on the **Employer**.

- 9.2 The **Employer** agrees to inform the **Employee** of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in sub-clause (1) above as soon as is practicable to enable the **Employee** to take any necessary action without delay.

10. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 10.1 The evaluation of the **Employee**'s performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 10.2 A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the **Employee** in recognition of outstanding performance, as per regulation 32(2) of the Regulations
- 10.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the **Employer** shall –
- 10.3.1 must provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
- 10.3.2 may after appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

11. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 11.1 Any disputes about the nature of the **Employee**'s performance agreement, must be mediated by –
- a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, in case of the Municipal Manager, or any other person appointed by the said Member of the Executive Council; and
 - b. the Mayor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee
- 11.2 Any disputes about the outcome of the Employee's performance evaluation, must be mediated by -

SEYI A
9-0
MBC

- a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the Province, or any other person appointed by the MEC, in the case of the Municipal Manager, and
- b. a Municipal Councilor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, provided such a Councilor was not part of the evaluation panel contemplated in regulation 27(4)(e) of the Regulations, *within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee*

12. GENERAL

- 12.1 The employer must make the contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of the Performance Plan available to the public as contemplated in section 46 of the Systems Act.
- 12.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the **Employee** in terms of his/ her Agreement of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 12.3 The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Thus done and signed at Bloemfontein on the 28th of July 2021

AS WITNESSES:

1. _____


EMPLOYEE

2. _____

AS WITNESSES:

1. _____


ACTING CITY MANAGER

2. _____

AS WITNESSES

ANNEXURE A

PERFORMANCE PLAN

PERFORMANCE SCORECARD – SECTION 56 EMPLOYEE			
Employee Name:	Sabata Enoch Motokeng	Employee Number	091947
Job Title:	Chief Financial Officer	Department:	Finance
Manager:	The City Manager	Date (Financial Year):	2021 – 2022 Financial Year
Position Purpose:	To carry out the functions as Chief Financial Officer in the municipality		
The period of this Performance Plan is from 01 July 2021 to 30 June 2022			
Signed and accepted by the CFO: Sabata Enoch Motokeng		Date:	27 July 2021
Signed by the Acting City Manager: Teboho Abel Maine		Date:	2021.07.28
By signing this performance scorecard the manager and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of, and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the employee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance with the Municipality's Performance Management Policy.			

1. Purpose

The performance plan defines the council expectation of the Chief Financial Officer's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal System Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan and as reviewed annually.

2. Key responsibilities

The following objects of local government will inform the Chief Financial Officer's performance against set performance indicators:

- 2.1 Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities.
- 2.2 Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner
- 2.3 Promote social and economic development
- 2.4 Promote a safe and healthy environment
- 2.5 Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisation in the matters of local government

3. Key Performance Area

The following Key Performance Area (KPAs) as outline in the Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly Accountable to Municipal Managers (2006), inform the strategic objective listed in the table below:

- 3.1 Basic Service Delivery.
- 3.2 Municipal Institutional Development and transformation
- 3.3 Local Economic Development (LED)
- 3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management
- 3.5 Good Governance and Public Participation

4. Key Performance Objectives and Indicators, for the Municipal Manager

The provision and statutory time frames contained in the following legislation are required to be reported on and measured:

- 4.1 Section 157 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
- 4.2 Local Government Municipal performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly (Regulation No. R805, dated 1 August 2006)

*sent-a
MBC G.D*

- 4.3 Regulations No.796 (Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulation, 2001) dated 24 August 2001
- 4.4 Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003, in particular, but not limited to Chapter 8. (must include, inter alia, tariff policy, rates policy, credit control and debt collection policy, supply chain management policy and an unqualified Auditor General's report)
- 4.5 Property Rates Act, 2004
- 4.6 Municipal Structures Act, 1998, in particular, but not limited to, Chapter 5 (Powers and functions as determined by legislation or agreement)
- 4.7 Municipal System Act 2000, in particular, but not limited to sections 55 to 57
- 4.8 Any other applicable legislation specific to the Municipal Manager

*SETA
g.d
MBC*

S.D
S.T.A

W.B.

- 1 -

Soy T.A. S.D.
MBC

NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)		MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION											
MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF):		08 – SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENT AND IMPROVED QUALITY OF LIFE											
INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF):		01 – SPATIAL INTEGRATION											
FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS):		INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION											
CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS		CITY TRANSFORMATIONAL INDICATORS (BEP)											
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)		SDG 11 – MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE											
MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS											
MANGAUNG STRATEGIC RISK OBJECTIVES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ FINANCIAL INSTABILITY ▪ INCONDUCEIVE ENVIRONMENT TO ATTRACT INVESTMENTS ▪ HIGH UNEMPLOYMENT RATE 											
PROGRAMME/PROJECT	STRATEGIES	2020/2021 FASTR YEAR PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	IDP OUTCOME KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	IDP TARGET 2021/2022	SDBIP OUTPUT KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	Quarter One Target (July – Sept 2021)	Quarter Two Target (Oct – Dec 2021)	Quarter Four Target (Apr – Jun 2022)	PROGRAMME/PROJECT	Assessment Score	Weighting	Total Weighting	Motivation for under performance and exceptional performance
						1	2	3	4	5			
Improve collection rate	Better collaboration with centec (electricity) for collection initiatives Debt incentive scheme re-introduction	Improved collection rate	Improve collection rate	Improve collection rate	Improve collection rate	87%	70%	75%	80%	87%			
Number of defaulting businesses litigated	2 debt collectors appointed to assist litigation Additional handover of accounts	Completed	Defaulting businesses litigated	Number of defaulting businesses litigated	Number of businesses litigated	400	250	300	350	400			

*S. A. S.D
MBC*

NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA):		MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION						
MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF):		Q8 – SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENT AND IMPROVED QUALITY OF LIFE						
INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF):		Q1 – SPATIAL INTEGRATION						
FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FGDS):		INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION						
CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS		CITY TRANSFORMATIONAL INDICATORS (BEPP)						
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)		SDG 11 – MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE						
MANAGING STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS						
MANAGING STRATEGIC RISK OBJECTIVES		▪ FINANCIAL INSTABILITY ▪ INCONDUCEIVE ENVIRONMENT TO ATTRACT INVESTMENTS						
PROGRAMME/PROJECT	STRATEGIES	2020/2021 PAST YEAR PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	IDP TARGET 2021/2022	IDP OUTCOME KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	SDBIP KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	Quarter One Target (July – Sept 2021)	Quarter Two Target (Oct – Dec 2021)	Quarter Four Target (Apr – Jun 2022)
Fixed asset register is compiled and updated monthly	Continued enhancement of the asset management system Building internal capacity to comply with legislative requirements	Updated fixed asset register	Updating of fixed asset register	Fixed asset register	Updated fixed asset register	12 FAIR UPDATES	3	3
Number of valuation rolls prepared and implemented	New valuer to be appointed Monthly supplementary valuations to be performed (although updated at least bi-annually)	Supplementary valuation rolls implemented	1 interim valuation roll implemented	Interim valuation rolls implemented bi-annually	Supplemental valuation rolls implemented	2	1	1

NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)		MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION									
MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF)		08 – SUSTAINABLE HUMAN SETTLEMENT AND IMPROVED QUALITY OF LIFE									
INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)		01 – SPATIAL INTEGRATION									
FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)		INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION									
CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS		CITY TRANSFORMATIONAL INDICATORS (BEPP)									
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)		SDG 11 – MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE									
MANAGING STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS									
MANAGING STRATEGIC RISK OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL INSTABILITY ▪ INCONDUCEIVE ENVIRONMENT TO ATTRACT INVESTMENTS ▪ HIGH UNEMPLOYMENT RATE									
PROGRAMME/PROJECT	STRATEGIES	PAST YEAR	IDP TARGET	SDBIP OUTPUT	Quarter One Target (July – Sept 2021)	Quarter Two Target (Oct – Dec 2021)	Quarter Three Target (Jan – Mar 2022)	Quarter Four Target (Apr – Jun 2022)	PROGRAMME/PROJECT	Assessment Score	Weighting
			2020/2021	2021/2022	SDBIP TARGET	SDBIP TARGET	SDBIP TARGET	SDBIP TARGET		1 2 3 4 5	Total Weighting
			KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR		1 2 3 4 5	Motivation for under performance and exceptional performance
All risks of awarding tenders to employees of state is eliminated	Verification done on dpsa and nt website to ensure the recommended bidder is not a public servant	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% compliance	100% of awarding tenders to employees of state is eliminated	General valuation roll ready for implementation	General valuation roll ready for implementation	General valuation roll ready for implementation	General valuation roll ready for implementation	1 Municipal Valuer appointed	1 General Valuation Roll submitted to the Accounting Officer	1 General valuation roll ready for implementation
All contracting is done in accordance to scm policy	Bid processes done in line with the scm policy	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% of awarded contracts in line with scm regulations	All contracts are done in accordance to scm policy	100% compliance	100% compliance	100% compliance	100% compliance	100%	100%	100%
Financial viability/stability	Timeous implementation of projects	none – new kpi	% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	95% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	95% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	95% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	95% operation and capital expenditures against the budget	95%	70%	95%

Key T.A. G.D
MBC

say T.A. G.D
UBC

NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)		MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION									
MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF): INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF) FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS) CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS		SDG 11 – MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE									
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG) MANAGING STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES		CITY TRANSFORMATIONAL INDICATORS (BEPP)									
PROGRAMME/PROJECT		SDG 11 – MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE									
MANAGING STRATEGIC RISK OBJECTIVES		CITY TRANSFORMATIONAL INDICATORS (BEPP)									
PROGRAMME STRATEGIES	PAST YEAR PERFORMANCE	IDP OUTCOME KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	IDP TARGET 2021/2022	SDBIP OUTPUT KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	SDBIP TARGET 2021/2022	Quarter One Target (July – Sept 2021)	Quarter Two Target (Oct – Dec 2021)	Quarter Three Target (Jan – Mar 2022)	Quarter Four Target (Apr – Jun 2022)	PROGRAMME/PROJECT	Assessment Score
											Weighting
											Total Weighting
											Motivation for under performance and exceptional performance
Compilation of Funded Budget	Timeous compilation of credible and funded Budgets	Funded budgets compiled and approved on time	Funded and credible budgets adopted by Council	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by Council	Funded and credible budgets adopted by Council	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by Council	Funded and credible budgets adopted by Council	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by Council	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by Council	1 Final Budget	1 Adjustment budget 1 Draft Budget

GOOD GOVERNANCE

GOVERNANCE		Good Governance and Public Participation		Good Governance		KPA No (No in the IDP e.g. 3 for Assessment Score)
Legislative KPIs	Basic Service Delivery	IDP KPA Target	1st Biannual Report	Motivation	Annual Report Final	
IDP Objective	KPI					

Soy T.A. G.D
MBC

					under performance and exceptional performance	1	2	3	4	5
% spent on the department capital budget	95% Capex spend	50% Capex spend	95% Capex spend	95% Capex spend						
% spent on Covid-19 funds	95% spent on Covid-19 funds	50% spent on Covid-19 funds	95% spent on Covid-19 funds	95% spent on Covid-19 funds						
% Implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan	100% Implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan	50% Implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan	100% Implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan	100% Implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan						
Annual procurement plan concluded and implemented as it relates to the department	100% implementation of annual procurement plan of the department	50% implementation of annual procurement plan of the department	50% implementation of annual procurement plan of the department	100% implementation of annual procurement plan of the department						
% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department	100% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department	50% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department	50% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department	100% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department						
% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government	100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government	100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government	100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government	100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government						
Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management	Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management	Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management	Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management	Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management						
Ensure good governance and effective management of the department	% Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy	100% compliance with the city's system of delegation policy	100% compliance with the city's system of delegation policy	100% compliance with the city's system of delegation policy						

J.T.A G.D
MPC

GOVERNANCE			Basic Service Delivery and Good Governance and Public Participation		IDP KPA	Good Governance		KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3
Legislative KPAs	KPI	Target	1 st Biannual Report		Annual Report Final	Motivation under performance and exceptional performance	Assessment Score	
effective management of the department	Percentage increase in implementation of the city's SDBIP	100% implementation of the city's SDBIP	100% implementation of the city's SDBIP		100% implementation of the city's SDBIP	100% implementation of the city's SDBIP		
	% implementation of employment equity targets set for department in the city's employment equity plan	100% implementation of employment equity targets set for department in the city's employment equity plan		50% implementation of employment equity targets set for department in the city's employment	50% implementation of employment equity targets set for department in the city's employment	100% implementation of employment equity targets set for department in the city's employment		
	% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational programme of doing business for the department	100% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational programme of doing business for the department		50% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational programme of doing business for the department	50% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational programme of doing business for the department	100% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational programme of doing business for the department		

CIRCULAR 88 INDICATORS

Good Governance		Past year performance		2021/2022 Quarter 1 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 2 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 3 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 4 Targets	
Outcome	Output Indicators	2020/2021	2021/2022	1 Targets	Targets						

*Say T.A. G.D
MBC*

Outcome	Output Indicators	Past year performance 2020/2021	Annual Target 2021/2022		2021/2022 Quarter 1 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 2 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 3 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 4 Targets	
			Unqualified Audit Outcomes		Unqualified Audit Outcome – Stand-alone		Unqualified Audit	Unqualified Audit Outcome – Stand-alone		Unqualified Audit	Unqualified Audit Outcome – Consolidated	
GG3. Improved municipal administration	GG 3.11 Number of repeat audit findings											

Local Economic Development

Outcome	Output Indicators	Past year performance 2020/2021	Annual Target 2021/2022		2021/2022 Quarter 1 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 2 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 3 Targets		2021/2022 Quarter 4 Targets	
			80%		80%		80%		80%		80%	
LED1. Growing inclusive local economies	LED1.11 Percentage of total municipal operating expenditure spent on contracted services physically residing within the municipal area	80%										
	LED2.11 Percentage of budgeted rates revenue collected	87%		87%		87%		87%		87%		87%
LED2. Improved levels of economic activity in municipal economic spaces	LED 2.12 Percentage of the municipality's operating budget spent on indigent relief for free basic services	6%	6.50%	1.63%		3.25%		4.88%		4.55%		6.50%
	LED3.21 Percentage of revenue clearance certificates issued within 10 working days from the time of completed application received	95%	95%									
	LED3.31 Average number of days from the point of advertising to the letter of award per 80/20 procurement process	150 days	90 days	90 days		90 days		90 days		90 days		90 days
	LED3.32 Percentage of municipal payments made to service providers who submitted complete forms within 30-days of invoice submission	60%	90%	70%		80%		90%		90%		90%

Signed SDT and accepted by: SAGATA TROCHI Financial Officer
 Job title: CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Date: 29 July 2021

Signed by the Acting City Manager on behalf of the Mangaung Metro Municipality Council

Date: _____

6. Consolidated Score Sheet

Key Performance Area	Weighting	City Manager's Rating	HOD's Rating	Final / Consolidated Score	Reason for Final Score
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
Total:	100	Final Score			

Sayfa G.D

MBC

7. CONTROL SHEET

TO BE UPDATED BY ACTING CITY MANAGER

PLANNING PHASE			
Date of 1 st planning meeting		Date of 2 nd planning meeting	
Date copy of performance plan handed to CFO		Acting City Manager	

COACHING PHASE

(Keep a record of meetings held to give feedback to the CFO on performance related issues)

Date of Feedback Meeting	Performance issue discussed and corrective action to be taken
Date of formal half year review	

REVIEWING PHASE

Date HOD notified of formal review meeting			
Date of 1 st review meeting			
Date of 2 nd Review meeting			
Date of 3 rd Review meeting			
Date of 4 th Review meeting			
Acting City Manager		Signature	

SEN T-A G.D

MBC

Annexure B

PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (To be completed by the CFO)

MUNICIPALITY: Mangung Municipality
 INCUBENT: SABATA E Mofolonge
 SALARY:
 JOB TITTLE: CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
 REPORT TO: CITY MANAGER

1.	What are the competencies required for this job (refer to competency profile of job description)?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT • STRATEGIC DIRECTION AND LEADERSHIP • PEOPLE MANAGEMENT AND CHANGE MANAGEMENT
2.	What are competencies from the above list, does the job holder already possess?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT • STRATEGIC DIRECTION AND LEADERSHIP • PEOPLE MANAGEMENT AND CHANGE MANAGEMENT
3.	What then are the competency gaps? (if the job holder possesses all the necessary competencies, complete No's 5 and 6).
	<p><u>NONE</u></p> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4.	Actions/Training interventions to address the gaps/needs
	<p><u>NONE</u></p> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	Indicate the competencies required for future career progression/development
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Action/Training interventions to address future progression

• MASTERS IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

7. Comments/Remarks of the Incumbent

8. Comments/Remarks of the supervisor

Agreed upon

Signature:

Marie

Acting City Manager:

TEOTONIO MAINE

Date:

2021 - 07 - 20

Signature:

Sabata

Incumbent:

SABATA ENOCH Moroccavc

Date:

28 JULY 2021