

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

THE MANGAUNG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY
AS REPRESENTED BY THE ACTING CITY MANAGER

Tebogo Motlashuping
FULL NAMES

AND

Timothy Sediti

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY (Acting Head of Department)

01 July 2022 – 31 December 2022

- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which must be in a format substantially compliant to Appendix "A";
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to the position; and
- 2.6 appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of performance,

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 July 2022** and will remain in force until **31 December 2022** where after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan must I be concluded between the parties for each of the following financial years or any portion thereof for the duration of the Agreement of Employment.
- 3.2 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee's** employment for any reason whatsoever.
- 3.3 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.4 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents must immediately be revised.
- 3.5 Any significant amendments or deviations must take cognizance of the requirements of section 34 and 42 of the Systems Act, and regulation 4(5) of the Regulations.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) must sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.

Av

- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Performance Plan must:
 - a) Be set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee;
 - b) Be based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the **Employer**, and
 - c) Include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weightings.

4.3 It is agreed that-

- i. The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done.
- ii. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved.
- iii. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved.
- iv. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- 4.4 The **Employee**'s performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The **Employee** agrees to participate in the performance management system that the **Employer** adopts or introduces to the Municipality and accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the **Employer**, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- The **Employer** must consult the **Employee** about the specific performance standards that are included in the performance management system as applicable to the **Employee**.
- 5.3 The Employee must be assessed on his or her performance in terms of the performance indicators identified in the attached Performance Plan and include =
 - a) The Key Performance Areas; and
 - b) Core Managerial Competencies
- 5.4 The Key Performance Areas will make up 80% of the Employee's assessment score, and will contain the following:

P

Key Performance Areas (80% of Total)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	10%
Municipal Institutional Development and transformation	10%
Local Economic Development (LED)	10%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	60%
Good Governance and Public Participation	10%
Total	100%

The Core Management Criteria (CMC) will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score, and are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected form the list below as agreed between the Employer and Employee

CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	1	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership		10%
Programme and Project Management		5%
Financial Management	compulsory	10%
Change Management		5%
Knowledge Management		5%
Service Delivery Innovation		5%
Problem Solving and Analysis		5%
People Management and Empowerment	compulsory	5%
Client Orientation and Customer Focus	compulsory	5%
Communication		5%
Accountability and Ethical Conduct		10%
Policy Conceptualisation and implementation		5%
Mediation Skills		5%
Advanced Negotiation Skills		5%
Advanced influencing skills		5%
Partnership and Stakeholder Relations		5%
Supply Chain Management		5%
4		
Total percentage	-	100%



6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement must sets out
 - a) the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - b) the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the **Employer** may in addition review the **Employee**'s performance at any stage during the validity of the agreement of Employment
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion, as well as the actions and timeframes agreed to, must be documented in a Personal Development Plan which must be in a format substantially compliant to Annexure "B"
- 6.4 The **Employee**'s performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s IDP.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - i. An assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - ii. An assessment of each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed
 - iii. A rating on the five-point scale for each Key Performance Area; and
 - iv. The use of the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final core.
- 6.6. The Core Management Criteria must be assessed -
- (a) according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) with an indicative rating on the five-point scale for each Criteria; and
- (d) using the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final score.



An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator, which represents the outcome of the performance appraisal, provided that the performance assessment of the Employee will be used on the following rating scale for both Key Performance Indicators and Core Management Criteria

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting			
			1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at					
	performance	this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved					
		above fully effective results against all performance criteria and					
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and					
		maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in					
	significantly	the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved					
	above	above fully effective results against more than half of the					
	expectations	performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others					
		throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the		-			
		job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved					
		effective results against all significant performance criteria and					
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key					
	effective	areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the					
		job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has					
		achieved below fully effective results against more than half the					
		key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and					
		Performance Plan.					
1	Unacceptable	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The					
	performance	review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved					
		below fully effective results against almost all of the performance					
		criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance					
		Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or					
		ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage improvement.					

The performance of the Employee must be evaluated by an evaluation panel constituted in terms of regulation 27 (4)(d) and (f) of the Regulations.



7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

7.1 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on any of the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Evaluation	Period	Review Date
First quarter	July - September	October – December
Second quarter	October – December	January – March
Third quarter	January – March	April – June
Fourth quarter	April – June	lulu Contombor
Annual Performance Review	July – June	July – September

Provided that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory

- 7.2 The **Employer** shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and feedback must I be based on the **Employer**'s assessment of the **Employee**'s performance.
- 7.3 The **Employer** may amend the provisions of Performance Plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

8. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 8.1 The Employer must -
 - 8.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
 - 8.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
 - 8.1.3 work collaboratively with the **Employee** to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the **Employee**;
 - on the request of the **Employee** delegate such powers reasonably required by the **Employee** to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 8.1.5 make available to the **Employee** such resources as the **Employee** may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

/

9. CONSULTATION

- 9.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will-
 - have a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
 - b. commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
 - c. have a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 9.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in sub-clause (1) above as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

10. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 10.1 The evaluation of the **Employee**'s performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 10.2 A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the **Employee** in recognition of outstanding performance, as per regulation 32(2) of the Regulations
- 10.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 10.3.1 must provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - 10.3.2 may after appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

11. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 11.1 Any disputes about the nature of the **Employee**'s performance agreement, must be mediated by
 - the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, in case of the Municipal Manager, or any other person appointed by the said Member of the Executive Council; and

M

- b. the Mayor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee
- 11.2 Any disputes about the outcome of the Employee's performance evaluation, must be mediated by
 - a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, or any other person appointed by the MEC, in the case of the Municipal Manager, and
 - b. a Municipal Councilor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, provided such a Councilor was not part of the evaluation panel contemplated in regulation 27(4)(e) of the Regulations, within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee

12. GENERAL

- 12.1 The employer must make the contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of the Performance Plan available to the public as contemplated in section 46 of the Systems Act.
- 12.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the **Employee** in terms of his/ her Agreement of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 12.3 The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Thus done and signed at Bloemfontein on the Of ULT 2022

AS WITNESSES:

1. ______

AS WITNESSES:

1. ______

ACTING CITY MANAGER

2. ______

AS WITNESSES

ANNEXURE A

PERFORMANCE PLAN

PERFORMANCE SCOF	PERFORMANCE SCORECARD - SECTION 56 EMPLOYEE		
Employee Name:	Timothy Sediti	Employee Number	
Job Title:	Acting Head of Department	Department: Finance	
Manager:	Acting City Manager	Date (Financial Year): 01 July 20	01 July 2022 – 31 December 2022
Position Purpose:	To carry out the functions as accounting officer and head of administration in the Municipality	in the Municipality	
The period of this Perl	The period of this Performance Plan is from 91 July 2022 = 31 December 2022		
Signed and accepted by the Department: Timothy Sediti	Signed and accepted by the Acting Head of Department: Timothy Sediti	Date: 307H Jun-7	2702 1-10
Signed by the Acting Mollashuping	Signed by the Acting City Manager: Tebogo Molfashuping	Date: 30 /7 /2022	

By signing this performance scorecard the manager and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of, and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the employee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance with the Municipality's Performance Management Policy.

 \checkmark

Page - 11 - of 25

1. Purpose

The performance plan defines the council expectation of the Head of Department's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal System Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan and as reviewed annually.

2. Key responsibilities

The following objects of local government will inform the Head of Department's performance against set performance indicators:

- 2.1 Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities.
- 2.2 Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner
- 2.3 Promote social and economic development
- 2.4 Promote a safe and healthy environment
- 2.5 Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisation in the matters of local government

3. Key Performance Area

The following Key Performance Area (KPAs) as outline in the Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly Accountable to Municipal Managers (2006), inform the strategic objective listed in the table below:

- 3.1 Basic Service Delivery.
- 3.2 Municipal Institutional Development and transformation
- 3.3 Local Economic Development (LED)
- 3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management
- 3.5 Good Governance and Public Participation

4. Key Performance Objectives and Indicators, for the Municipal Manager and Managers Directly accountable to Municipal Manager

The provision and statutory time frames contained in the following legislation are required to be reported on and measured:

- 4.1 Section 157 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
- 4.2 Local Government Municipal performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly (Regulation No. R805, dated 1 August 2006)

- 4.3 Regulations No.796 (Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulation, 2001) dated 24 August 2001
- 4.4 Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003, in particular, but not limited to Chapter 8. (must include, inter alia, tariff policy, rates policy, credit control and debt collection policy, supply chain management policy and an unqualified Auditor General's report)
- 4.5 Property Rates Act, 2004
- 4.6 Municipal Structures Act, 1998, in particular, but not limited to, Chapter 5 (Powers and functions as determined by legislation or agreement)
- 4.7 Municipal System Act 2000, in particular, but not limited to sections 55 to 57
- 4.8 Any other applicable legislation specific to the Municipal Manager or Managers accountable to Municipal Manager



Page - 14 - of 25

MMM PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES PER DEPARTMENT AS PER THE SDBIP

Finance

							Corrective Actions for under performan				
							Motivation for exceptional performanc e				
							Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1				
				The same			Quarter 4 Targets	10%	2%	87%	100
							Quarter 3 Targets	13%	%9	%08	100
							Quarter 2 Targets	15%	7%	75%	100
					ISTAINABLE		Guarter 1 Targets	20%	%8	70%	100
	TATE				ESILIENT AND SU		SDBIP Target 2022/2023	***************************************	%9	87%	400
	AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE		VINABLE JOB CREATION		CLUSIVE, SAFE, R		SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator	Reduce the interim meter readings	Reduce number of returned consumer accounts	Improve collection rate	Number of businesses litigated
					SETTLEMENT IN		2022/2023	10%	%5	87%	400
III.	DING A CAPABLE	GRATION	OMIC GROWTH AN	GEMENT	TIES AND HUMAN	H IMPROVEMENT	Year Targets 2022/2027		% S	%06	
FINANCIAL VIABILITY	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHICAL	01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUST	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE	Z	Key Performance	Reduce the interim meter readings	Reduction of consumer accounts issued to incorrect addresses	Improve collection rate	Defaulting businesses litigated
							Baseline/Past performance 2021/2022	Reduced the interim meter readings	issued consumer accounts to correct addresses	Improved collection rate	Litigated defaulting businesses
0	TSF)	EWORK (IUDF)	FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)					Installation of prepaid water in meters Operational meter reading handheld devices	Implementation of a web platform of consumers to statements their statements Further discussions with the post office to increase effective rate consumers to email statements or by applicants or by applicants or by applicants	of	2 debt collectors appointed to assist with litigation Additional handover of accounts
NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF)	INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)	D DEVELOPMENT	REFORMS	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	Programme/P Strategies roject	Percentage increase on moumber of moustomers Coustomers receiving maccurate bills h	_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Improve si collection rate si (Number of defaulting businesses litigated
KEY PERFORM	RM STRATEGK	D URBAN DEV	E GROWTH AN	CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS	ILE DEVELOPM	S STRATEGIC II	Commun P Ry Aspiratio	Administr in Support co	Administrative Support	Administr II ative C	Administr P ative C Support L
NATIONAL	MEDIUM TE	INTEGRATE	FREE STAT	CIRCULAR	SUSTAINAE	MANGAUNG	Ward No.	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL

J.

						Corrective Actions for under performan					
					100	Motivation for exceptional performanc e				6	
						Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1			Ü		
						Quarter 4 Targets	ಣ	1 annually as	100%	100%	95%
						Quarter 3 Targets	က	1 annually as per MPRA	,100%	100%	75%
						Quarter 2 Targets	en en	1 annually as per MPRA	100%	100%	20%
				JSTAINABLE		Cuarter 1 Targets	ന	1 annually as per MPRA	100%	100%	25%
	TATE			RESILIENT AND SU		SDBIP Target 2022/2023	updates	2	100%	.100%	%96
	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHICAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE	ARI F. JOB CREATION		ENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE		SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator	asset register	Supplementary valuation rolls implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% compliance SCM regulation	% operation and capital expenditures against the budget
	ETHICAL AND DE				S	2022/2023 2022/2023	updales	2	100%	100%	95%
JII.	DING A CAPABLE	01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAIN	GEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEM	EMEN	IOP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027	12	2	100%	100%	%96
FINANCIAL VIABILITY	PRIORITY 1: BUIL	01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE C	FINANCIAL HEAL	Key Performance Indicator	Updating of fixed asset register	1 interim valuation roll implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% of awarded contracts in line with SCM regulations	% operation and capital expenditures against the budget
						Baseline/Past performance 2021/2022	Updated fixed 1 asset register 1	Supplementary valuation rolls implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% compliance with SCM regulation	
A)	ITSF)	INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (UDF) FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY JESGDS1			T OBJECTIVES	Strategies	Continued enhancement of the asset management system Building internal capacity to comply with legislative requirements	New valuer to be appointed Monthly supplementary supplementary supplementary performed (although updated at least independentally).	Verification done on DPSA and NT website to ensure the ecommended bidder is not a public servant	£ >	Timeous implementation of projects
NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF)	INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF) FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IE	REFORMS	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	Programme/P Spect	Fixed asset register is compiled and try updated monthly e	Number of valuation rolls a prepared and implemented	All risks of awarding tenders to employees of state are eliminated	All contracting is done in accordance with SCM policy	Financial viability/stabilit y
KEY PERFORM	RM STRATEGN	ED URBAN DEV	CIRCULAR 81 REPORTING REFORMS	BLE DEVELOPIN	G STRATEGIC I	Commun P Ity Aspiratio	Administrative Support o	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support
NATIONAL	MEDIUM TE	FREE STAT	CIRCUIAR	SUSTAINA	MANGAUN	Ward No.	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL



MEDIIM TERM STRATECK FRAMEWORK (MYSE)		PRIORITY 1: RIII DING	DING A CAPABI F	ETHICAL AND	PRICATY SENIET STATE STATE STATE	STATE							
INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)		01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	EGRATION	Shirt and the state of the stat		or other parts							
FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)	6	INCLUSIVE ECO.	IOMIC GROWTH A	IND SUSTAINABL	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION								
		FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	NGEMENT										
		SDG 11 - MAKE	TIES AND HUMA	N SETTLEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE	RESILIENT AND S	USTAINABLE						
MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	The second second	FINANCIAL HEAL	FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS	TS									
	Baselina/Past performance 2021/2022	IDP Outcome Key Performance Indicator	IDP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027	2022/2023	SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator	SDBIP Target 2022/2023	Quarter 1 Targets	Quarter 2 Targets	Quarter 3 Targets	Quarter 4 Targets	Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1	Motivation for exceptional performanc	Corrective Actions for under performan
Installation of prepaid water ipprepaid water incheirs Operational meter reading handheld devices	of Reduced the interim meter readings	Reduce the interim meter readings	10%	10%	Reduce the interim meter readings	10%	25%	15%	13%	10%			



MMM GENERIC GOVERNANCE PERFOMANCE OBJECTIVES

Legislative KAS PASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC DPKPA COOD GOVERNANCE	GOVERNANCE							
KPI TARGET ITHORET ITHORDITE FINAL ANNUAL REPORT FINAL % spent on the city's capital 95% capex spend 50% capex spend 50% capex spend 95% capex spend % implementation of the revenue 100% implementation of the enhancement strategy related to the department at strategy related to the department and procurement plan of related to the department and implementation of and implementation of and implementation of and implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the department address audit issues related to the department address audit issues related to the department address audit plan to address address address address address addres	LEGISLATIVE KPAS	BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GO PARTICIPATION		IDP KPA	GOOD GOVERNANCE		KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3	3.3
% spent on the city's capital by a coepled good practices of management in the city's policy, procedure and or generally accepted good practices of management in the city's policy, procedure and or generally accepted good practices of management in the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stpulated management is management in the city's policy, procedure and or generally requirement is management in the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stpulated management is management in the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management is management in the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management is management in the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management in the firsk palanning processes (IDP and risk management in the firsk palanning processes and in the with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management in the firsk palanning processes and in the with the city's planning processes and risk management 2 risk management 3 risk management 3 risk management 4 rine first processes and in line with the risk processes and in line with	IDP OBJECTIVE	КРІ	TARGET	1st Blannual Report	ANNUAL REPORT FINAL	MOTIVATION FOR UNDER PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE	Assessment Score	C)
% Implementation of the revenue enhancement strategy related to the enhancement strategy related to the city the city and/ or generally accepted good practices of management) procurement plan to generally accepted good practices of management) procusion of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) are city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) are city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) are city's planning processes time with the city's planning processes time with the city's planning processes time frames and in line with time			95% capex spend	50% capex spend	95% capex spend	a)		
the city Annual procurement plan concluded and implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the city Annual procurement plan to address audit issues related to the city performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) The city performance in line with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) The city planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 weeks attime frames and in line with quality requirements The city and control of a staff whose performance in local government and or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government and risk management 2 weeks attime frames and in line with quality requirements The city and the city's planning processes and in line with quality requirements The city and the city's planning processes and in line with quality requirements	effective	_	_	50% implementation of the	100% implementation of the			
% Annual procurement plan concluded and implemented as it annual procurement plan of concluded and implemented as it annual procurement plan of fine and relates to the city address audit plan to address audit issues related to the department address audit issues related to the department annual of plan to address audit issues related to the department annual of plan to address audit issues and in line with the city's policy, procedure and or generally procedure and or generally accepted good practices of accepted good practices of address and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks ament of the time frames and in line with the rine frames and in line with the rine with rine frames and in line with the rine frames and in line with the rine with rine frames and in line with the rine with rine frames and in line with the rine with rine frames and in line with the rine with rine frames and in line with the rine frames and in line with rine frames and in line with rine frames and in line with rine with rine frames and in line with rine frames and r	management of traction of the city	_	enhancement related to the	revenue enhancement strategy related to the department	enhancen related to			
% Annual procurement plan of concluded and implemented as it relates to the city with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's plan it of the department good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management of the time frames and in line with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 risk management 2 risk management 2 rime with quality requirements % Annual procurement plan of annual of the department plan of the city procurement plan of the city annual processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 risk management 2 risk management 2 rime with quality requirements			department		department			
concluded and implemented as it the department plan of relates to the city **Relates to the department address audit issues related to the department department **Relates to the city **Relates to the department address audit issues related to the department department **Related to the department department **Related to the department department department department department **Related to the department departm		% Annual procurement plan	100% implementation of	50% implementation of annual	100% implementation of			
% implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the city address audit issues related to the city address audit issues related to the city address audit issues related to the capartment department department address audit issues related to the capartment department address audit issues related to the capartment department adepartment adepartment adepartment benformance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government addresses (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks siment of the time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and		concluded and implemented as it	annual procurement plan of	plan	annual procurement plan of			
% implementation of audit plan to address audit address audit issues related to address audit issues related to the city the city % of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in with the city's policy, procedure and or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 management 2 management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated mentality requirements and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time with the city's plan		relates to the city	the department	department	the department			
the city And of staff in OCM whose related to the city's policy, procedure and or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 management 2 management) within stipulated and risk management 2 related to the department audit or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government and of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks arrier than stipulated time frames and in line with time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and in line with the city's planning transagement 2 risk management 2 weeks arrier than stipulated time time frames and in line with time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time frames and in line with the city's planning processes and time with quality quality the city's planning processes and time with quality quality the city's planning processes and time with quality quality the city's planning processes and time with time frames and in line with time frames and in l		% implementation of audit plan to		50% implementation of audit				
the city % of staff in OCM whose 100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 and management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with quality requirements **Related to the department department and/ of staff whose performance is managed in performance is managed in performance is managed in performance in local government accepted good practices of managing performance in local government and planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks and in line with quality frames and in line with quality and in line with an ine with quality and in line with an ine with an ine with quality and ine with quality and ine with a line with quality and ine with an ine with an ine with an ine with an ine with quality and ine with an ine wit		address audit issues related to	audit plan to address audit	plan to address audit issues	audit plan to address audit			
% of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 and management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks ment of the time frames and in line with the city's policy, of staff whose performance is management and/ or generally procedure and/ or generally procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government and planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks and management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks arrier than stipulated time time frames and in line with tim		the city	issues related to the	related to the department	issues related to the			
% of staff in OCM whose 100% of staff whose 100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure in e with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 and management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks arriiner than stipulated time frames and in line with a large and risk management and in line with time frames and in line with quality			department		department			
performance is managed in line with the city's policy, and or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally	3	% of staff in OCM whose	of staff	of staff	of staff			
with the city's policy, procedure line with the city's policy, line with the city's policy, and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 weeks and inmert of the time frames and in line with the city's polarior good practices of management and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks and time frames and in line with quality		performance is managed in line	performance is managed in	performance is managed in	performance is managed in			
and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally procedure and/ or generally practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management and planning processes (IDP and risk management and planning processes (IDP and risk management and risk management and risk management and rime frames and in line with time frames and in line with quality		with the city's policy, procedure	line with the city's policy,	line with the city's policy,	line with the city's policy,			
performance in local government managing performance in managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management and planning processes (IDP and risk management and planning processes and management) within stipulated and risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with duality)	and/ or generally accepted good	procedure and/ or generally	procedure and/ or generally	procedure and/ or generally			
performance in local government managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with time frames and in line with time frames and in line with quality		practices of managing		accepted good practices of	accepted good practices of			
good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the ince and planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with quality		performance in local government		managing performance in local				
good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the Ince and planning processes (IDP and risk city's planning processes city's planning processes and management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with time frames and in line with duality			local government	government	local government			
planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks time frames and in line with quality requirements		-	Provision of inputs into the	Provision of inputs into the	Provision of inputs into the			
management) within stipulated and risk management 2 risk management 2 weeks time frames and in line with the frames and in line with the frames and in line with quality requirements.		_	city's planning processes	city's planning processes and	city's planning processes			
time frames and in line with weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with quality	effective	management) within stipulated		risk management 2 weeks	and risk management 2			
quality requirements time frames and in line with frames and in line with quality	management of the	_	weeks earlier than stipulated	earlier than stipulated time	weeks earlier than stipulated			
	city	quality requirements	time frames and in line with	frames and in line with quality	time frames and in line with			
quality requirements requirements quality requirements			quality requirements	requirements	quality requirements			





		-		_			_	_	_		_						
6.3		το Δ															
KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3	ø	4												_			
lo in the	Assessment Score	m															
A No (N	essme	1 2								_							
KP											_						
	UNDER	AND															
	NO	MANCE															
	MOTIVATION FOR	PERFORMANCE EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE															
	2	Z W Z	<u>e</u>	<u> </u>		<u>e</u>	_	to of	sts	Je J	īţ		ste		S	<u>و</u>	
	IAL		with t	elegati		on of the		100% implementation of	employment equity targets	set for department in the	city's' employment equity		100% adherence to targets	set by the city on the	subnational programmes	doing business for the	
GOOD GOVERNANCE	ANNUAL REPORT FINAL		iance	of d		nentatic		ementa	equity	artmen	oymen		ence f	cit	рго	SSau	
GOVER	L REP		dwoo	systerr		implen	OBIP	igi.	/ment	r dep	emp		adher	y the	tional	busi	ment
G000	ANNUA		100% compliance with the	city's system of delegation	policy	100% implementation of the	city's SDBIP	100%	emplo	set fo	city's'	plan	100%	set b	subna	doing	department
								ð	set	ity's'			set	leuo	ness		
	RT		100% compliance with the	city's system of delegation		100% implementation of the		implementation of	employment equity targets set	for department in the city's'			50% adherence to targets set	by the city on the subnational	programmes doing business		
	REPO		liance	n of		mentat		lement	equity	ent in			nce to	n the	doing	tment	
A .	NNUAL		comp	syster		imple	SOBIP		yment	partme	yment		adherei	city o	ımmes	for the department	
IDP KPA	1st BIANNUAL REPORT		100%	city's	policy	100%	city's SDBIP	20%	emplo	for de	employment		20%	by the	progra	for the	
BLIC			‡	ation		the the		₽	rgets	the	duity		rgets	the	nmes	‡	
UM ON	ŀ		100% compliance with the	city's system of delegation		100% implementation of the		100% implementation of	employment equity targets	set for department in the	city's' employment equity		to ta	set by the city on the	programmes	doing business for the	
ANCE /			npliano	em of		lement	<u>a</u>	pleme	nt eq	epartir	nploym		erence	the ci		sines	¥
OVERN	TARGET		Soc Soc	s syst	ć	dmi %	city's SDBIP	'n.	oloyme	for d	's'	_	% adl	þ	subnational	ng b	department
BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC DADICIDATION	TAR		100	city	policy	9	city	-	-	set	city	plan	% adherence to targets set by the 100% adherence to targets	set	_	- <u>B</u>	g
AND G	1		's	_		ion of		% implementation of employment	equity targets set for OCM in the	lan			t by the		programme of doing business for		
NERY			the city	n policy		mentat		f emply	r OCM	equity p			gets se	nal	g busin		
CE DEL	5		e with	legatio		imple	絽	ation o	s set fo	/ment (to tar	ubnatio	of doing	at at	
BASIC SERVICE			% Compliance with the city's	system of delegation policy		% increase in implementation of	the city's SDBIP	lement	target	city's' employment equity plan			nerence	city on the subnational	amme (the department	
BASIC	KP		% Co	syster		% incl	the cit	% imp	equity	city's'			% adl	city or	progra	the de	
St																	
VE KPA	TIVE																
LEGISLATIVE KPAS	IDP OBJECTIVE																
LEG	D D				-												



STRATEGIC RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SUPPORT TEAM

MOTIVATION FOR UNDER Assessment Score PERFORMANCE AND 1 2 3 4 5 EXCEPTIONAL																			
TARGET	100% Support to NCR	100% of strategic leadership	All identify challenges and proposed solutions to ensure provision of uninterrupted basic service to	the community and prudent financial management are achieved	Provided advise and support on the approach to	the reduction of operational expenditure and	increase of revenue for the municipality	100% compliance with statutory prescripts				All monthly reports on the implementation of the	FRP submitted to the NCR	All delegated authority and responsibilities as may	be assigned from time to time by the NCR	executed	All reports presented to IGR structures of	government	All recommendations on the effective utilization of human resources are complete
KPI	To support NCR in the implementation of the terms of reference	To provide strategic leadership and direction to the relevant pillars of sustainability as provided for in the FRP	To identify challenges and propose solutions to ensure provision of uninterrupted basic service to the	community and prudent financial management	To advise and support on the approach to the implementation of EDD activities to activities the	reduction of operational expenditure and increase of	revenue for the municipality	To recommend and ensure implementation of	internal controls, procedures and systems for good	governance, prudent financial management and effective service delivery in compliance with statutory	prescripts	To prepare and submit monthly reports on the	implementation of the FRP to the NCR	To execute all delegated authority and	responsibilities as may be assigned from time to time	by the NCR	To prepare and present progress at meetings of the	oversignt and intergovernmental relations structure of government	To conduct an assessment and make recommendations on the effective utilization of
OBJECTIVE	Facilitate effective implementation of the approved Financial Recovery Plan (FRP)	and achieving financial recovery and provision of reliable and uninterrupted basic services																	





1111	
7	
B	

KPI		TARGET	IN C	Assessn	nent Sco	2	u
			PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL DEPENDENCE	1 2	က		4
human	human resources in their areas of expertise						
Top	To provide technical and strategic support to Heads	100% technical and strategic support to Heads of					
of De	of Department in coordinating operational activities in	Department					
an e	an economic, effective and efficient manner to						
achi	achieve value for money						
Tog	To assist in the development and review of	100% assistance in the development and review of					
deb	departmental business plans	departmental business plans					
<u>P</u>	To review policies, by-laws, strategies and plans in	100% reviewed policies, by-taws, strategies and					
thei	their respective areas of expertise	plans					
ASS	Assist in the review and amendment of the financial	100% review and amendment of the financial					
<u>9</u>	recovery plan as and when required	recovery plan as and when required					
မ	To advise on the strategic management of municipal	100% advise on the strategic management of					
et	entities	municipal entities					
은	To provide written inputs and advise on all reports for	100% provision of written inputs and advise on all					
9	decision-making in terms of Council approved	reports for decision-making in terms of Council					
sys	system of delegations.	approved system of delegations					

OBJECTIVE

and accepted by: [-]

Date: 20.

Job title: Signed

Signed by the Acting City Manager on behalf of the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality's Council

Date: 20/1/08

Page - 21 - of 25

Page - 22 - of 25

Key Performance Area	Weighting	Acting City Manager's rating	Acting Head of Department's Rating	Final / Consolidated Score	Reason for Final Score
_		<i>z</i> .			
2					
60					
4					
2					
9					
7					
Total:	100	Final Score			

9

7. CONTROL SHEET

TO BE UPDATED BY ACTING CITY MANAGER

PLANNING PHASE		
Date of 1st planning meeting	Date of 2 nd planning meeting	
Date copy of performance plan handed to Acting Head of Department	Acting City Manager	

COACHING PHASE

(Keep a record of meetings held to give feedback to the Acting Head of Departments on performance related issues)			
Date of Feedback Meeting	Performance issue discu	issed and correct	ve action to be taken
		2:	
Date of formal half year review			
REVIEWING PHASE			
Date Acting Head of Department			
notified of formal review meeting			
Date of 1st review meeting			
Date of 2 nd Review meeting			
Date of 3rd Review meeting			
Date of 4th Review meeting			
Acting City Manager		Signature	

PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

MUNIC	IPALITY:
INCUBE	ENT:
SALAR	Y:
JOB TI	TTLE:
REPOR	TT TO:
1.	What are the competencies required for this job (refer to competency profile of job description)?
2.	What are competencies from the above list, does the job holder already possess?
3.	What then are the competency gaps? (if the job holder possesses all the necessary competencies, complete No's 5 and 6).
4.	Actions/Training interventions to address the gaps/needs
-	Indicate the competencies required for future career progression/development
5.	Indicate the competencies required for future career progression/development



6.	Action/Training interventions to address future progression	
7.	Comments/Remarks of the Incumbent	
8.	Comments/Remarks of the supervisor	
Agreed upon Signature: Supervisor: Date:		
Signati Incumb Date:		

50 3:

14

•