

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

THE MANGAUNG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY AS REPRESENTED BY THE ACTING CITY MANAGER

Tebogo Motlashuping
FULL NAMES

AND

Israel Kgamanyane

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

01 July 2022 – 31 December 2022



PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

The Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality herein represented by **Tebogo Motlashuping** (full name) in his capacity as Acting City Manager. (Hereinafter referred to as the **Employer** or Supervisor)

and

Israel Kgamanyane (full name) Employee of the Municipality (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 54A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 and as amended ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.4 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems Act.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 communicate the employer's performance expectations and accountabilities to the employee, by specifying objectives and targets as defined in the Integrated Development Plan and the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP).

der

- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which must be in a format substantially compliant to Appendix "A";
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to the position; and
- 2.6 appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of performance,

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

15

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 July 2022** and will remain in force until **31 December 2022** where after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan must I be concluded between the parties for each of the following financial years or any portion thereof for the duration of the Agreement of Employment.
- 3.2 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's employment for any reason whatsoever.
- 3.3 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.4 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents must immediately be revised.
- Any significant amendments or deviations must take cognizance of the requirements of section 34 and 42 of the Systems Act, and regulation 4(5) of the Regulations.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) must sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.

h

- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Performance Plan must:
 - a) Be set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee;
 - b) Be based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the **Employer**, and
 - c) Include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weightings.
- 4.3 It is agreed that
 - i. The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done.
 - ii. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved.
 - iii. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved.
 - iv. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- The **Employee**'s performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- The **Employee** agrees to participate in the performance management system that the **Employer** adopts or introduces to the Municipality and accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the **Employer**, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.2 The **Employer** must consult the **Employee** about the specific performance standards that are included in the performance management system as applicable to the **Employee**.
- 5.3 The Employee must be assessed on his or her performance in terms of the performance indicators identified in the attached Performance Plan and include =
 - a) The Key Performance Areas; and
 - b) Core Managerial Competencies
- 5.4 The Key Performance Areas will make up 80% of the Employee's assessment score, and will contain the following:

36

\$ 6 m

Key Performance Areas (80% of Total)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	40%
Municipal Institutional Development and transformation	15%
Local Economic Development (LED)	15%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	15%
Good Governance and Public Participation	15%
Total	100%

dur fi

The Core Management Criteria (CMC) will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score, and are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected form the list below as agreed between the Employer and Employee

CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	√	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership		10%
Programme and Project Management		5%
Financial Management	compulsory	10%
Change Management		5%
Knowledge Management		5%
Service Delivery Innovation		5%
Problem Solving and Analysis		5%
People Management and Empowerment	compulsory	5%
Client Orientation and Customer Focus	compulsory	5%
Communication		5%
Accountability and Ethical Conduct		10%
Policy Conceptualisation and implementation		5%
Mediation Skills		5%
Advanced Negotiation Skills		5%
Advanced influencing skills		5%
Partnership and Stakeholder Relations		5%
Supply Chain Management		5%
Total percentage		100%

EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement must sets out
 - a) the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - b) the intervals for the evaluation of the **Employee**'s performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the **Employer** may in addition review the **Employee**'s performance at any stage during the validity of the agreement of Employment
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion, as well as the actions and timeframes agreed to, must be documented in a Personal Development Plan which must be in a format substantially compliant to Annexure "B"
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - i. An assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - ii. An assessment of each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed
 - iii. A rating on the five-point scale for each Key Performance Area; and
 - iv. The use of the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final core.
- 6.6. The Core Management Criteria must be assessed –
- (a) according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) with an indicative rating on the five-point scale for each Criteria; and
- (d) using the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final score.

250

,

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator, which represents the outcome of the performance appraisal, provided that the performance assessment of the Employee will be used on the following rating scale for both Key Performance Indicators and Core Management Criteria

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting			
			1	2	3	4	1
5	Outstanding	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at			-		-
	performance	this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved					
		above fully effective results against all performance criteria and					
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and					
		maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in					
	significantly	the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved					
	above	above fully effective results against more than half of the					
	expectations	performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others					
		throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the					
		job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved					
		effective results against all significant performance criteria and					
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key					
	effective	areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the					
		job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has					
		achieved below fully effective results against more than half the					
		key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and					
		Performance Plan.					
1	Unacceptable	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The					
	performance	review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved					
		below fully effective results against almost all of the performance					
		criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance					
		Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or					
		ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage improvement.					

The performance of the Employee must be evaluated by an evaluation panel constituted in terms of regulation 27 (4)(d) and (f) of the Regulations.

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

7.1 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on any of the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Evaluation	Period	Review Date		
First quarter	July - September	October – December		
Second quarter	October – December	January – March		
Third quarter	January – March	April – June		
Fourth quarter	April – June	luly Contomber		
Annual Performance Review	July June	July – September		

Provided that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory

- 7.2 The **Employer** shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings *and* feedback must I be based on the **Employer**'s assessment of the **Employee**'s performance.
- 7.3 The **Employer** may amend the provisions of Performance Plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

8. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 8.1 The Employer must -
 - 8.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
 - 8.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
 - 8.1.3 work collaboratively with the **Employee** to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the **Employee**;
 - 8.1.4 on the request of the **Employee** delegate such powers reasonably required by the **Employee** to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 8.1.5 make available to the **Employee** such resources as the **Employee** may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

Page 7 of 25

5/26

9. CONSULTATION

1 × 50° .

- 9.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will
 - a. have a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
 - commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
 - c. have a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 9.2 The **Employer** agrees to inform the **Employee** of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in sub-clause (1) above as soon as is practicable to enable the **Employee** to take any necessary action without delay.

10. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 10.1 The evaluation of the **Employee**'s performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 10.2 A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the **Employee** in recognition of outstanding performance, as per regulation 32(2) of the Regulations
- 10.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - 10.3.1 must provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - may after appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

11. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 11.1 Any disputes about the nature of the **Employee**'s performance agreement, must be mediated by
 - a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, in case of the Municipal Manager, or any other person appointed by the said Member of the Executive Council; and

W/X

- b. the Mayor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee
- 11.2 Any disputes about the outcome of the Employee's performance evaluation, must be mediated by
 - a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, or any other person appointed by the MEC, in the case of the Municipal Manager, and
 - b. a Municipal Councilor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, provided such a Councilor was not part of the evaluation panel contemplated in regulation 27(4)(e) of the Regulations, within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee

12. GENERAL

- 12.1 The employer must make the contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of the Performance Plan available to the public as contemplated in section 46 of the Systems Act.
- 12.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the **Employee** in terms of his/ her Agreement of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 12.3 The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Thus done and signed at Bloemfontein on the pof July 2022

AO WITHEOULO

AS WITNESSES

AS WITNESSES

EMPLOYEE

ACTING CITY MANAGER



女

ANNEXURE A PERFORMANCE PLAN

PERFORMANCE SCOR	PERFORMANCE SCORECARD - SECTION 56 EMPLOYEE		
Employee Name:	Israel Kgamanyane	Employee Number	
Job Title:	Head of Department	Department: Municipal	Municipal Police Service
Manager:	Acting City Manager	Date (Financial Year): 01 July 20	01 July 2022 – 31 December 2022
Position Purpose:	To carry out the functions as accounting officer and head of administration in the Municipality	the Municipality	
The period of this Perf	The period of this Performance Plan is from 01 July 2022 - 31 December 2022		
Signed and accepted by the Head of Department: Israel Kgamanyane	d by the Head of manyane	ecocito de	66.90
Signed by the Acting City Manager: Tebogo Motlashuping	City Manager: Tebogo	Date: DIOTIDA	233
By signing this perform	By signing this performance scorecard the manager and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the	retanding of and agreement with the contents	of the scorecard The manager and the

By signing this performance scorecard the manager and employee hereby indicate their tull understanding of, and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the employee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance with the Municipality's Performance Management Policy.

1. Purpose

The performance plan defines the council expectation of the Head of Department's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal System Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan and as reviewed annually.

2. Key responsibilities

The following objects of local government will inform the Head of Department's performance against set performance indicators:

- 2.1 Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities.
- 2.2 Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner
- 2.3 Promote social and economic development
- 2.4 Promote a safe and healthy environment
- 2.5 Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisation in the matters of local government

3. Key Performance Area

The following Key Performance Area (KPAs) as outline in the Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly Accountable to Municipal Managers (2006), inform the strategic objective listed in the table below:

- 3.1 Basic Service Delivery.
- 3.2 Municipal Institutional Development and transformation
- 3.3 Local Economic Development (LED)
- 3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management
- 3.5 Good Governance and Public Participation

4. Key Performance Objectives and Indicators, for the Municipal Manager and Managers Directly accountable to Municipal Manager

The provision and statutory time frames contained in the following legislation are required to be reported on and measured:

- 4.1 Section 157 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
- 4.2 Local Government Municipal performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly (Regulation No. R805, dated 1 August 2006)



a da ak

- 4.3 Regulations No.796 (Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulation, 2001) dated 24 August 2001
- 4.4 Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003, in particular, but not limited to Chapter 8. (must include, inter alia, tariff policy, rates policy, credit control and debt collection policy, supply chain management policy and an unqualified Auditor General's report)
- 4.5 Property Rates Act, 2004
- 4.6 Municipal Structures Act, 1998, in particular, but not limited to, Chapter 5 (Powers and functions as determined by legislation or agreement)
- 4.7 Municipal System Act 2000, in particular, but not limited to sections 55 to 57
- 4.8 Any other applicable legislation specific to the Municipal Manager or Managers accountable to Municipal Manager



MMM PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES PER DEPARTMENT AS PER THE SDBIP

MUNICIPAL POLICE SERVICE

							Corrective Actions for under performan ce				*
						The second second	Motivation for exceptional performanc e				
							Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1				
							Quarter 4 Targets	10%	5%	87%	100
						No. of the last of	Quarter 3 Targets	13%	%9	%08	100
							Quarter 2 Targets	15%	<i>7%</i>	75%	100
					USTAINABLE		Quarter 1 Targets	20%	% 8	%02	100
	STATE				RESILIENT AND S		2022/2023	10%	2%	87%	400
	AL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE		TAINABLE JOB CREATION		EMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE		SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator	Reduce the interim meter readings	Reduce number of returned consumer accounts	Improve collection rate	Number of businesses litigated
	ETHICAL AND D		IND SUSTAINABL			TS	2022/2023	10%	5%	87%	400
LITY	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHIC	FEGRATION	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUST	AGEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTI	FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS	IDP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027		2%	%06	
FINANCIAL VIABILITY	PRIORITY 1: BUIL	01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	INCLUSIVE ECO!	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE	FINANCIAL HEAD	IDP Outcome Key Performance Indicator	Reduce the interim meter readings	Reduction of consumer accounts issued to incorrect addresses	Improve collection rate	Defaulting businesses litgated
			S)				Baseline/Past performance 2021/2022	Reduced the interim meter readings	Issued consumer consumer consumer counts to cornect addresses	Improved collection rate	Litigated defaulting businesses
PAJ	MTSF)	MEWORK (IUDF)	FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)			NT OBJECTIVES	Strategies	Installation of prepaid water meters Operational meter reading handheld devices	a web platform for consumers to get their statements their statements. Further discussions with the post office to increase effective rate. Converting more consumers to email statements or by applysms	Full implementation of the Council's Credit Control Policy	2 debt collectors appointed to assist with litigation Additional
NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF	INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)	ND DEVELOPMEN	G REFORMS	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	Programme/P roject	Percentage increase on number of customers receiving accurate bills		Improve collection rate	Number of defaulting businesses litigated
KEY PERFOR	RM STRATEG	ED URBAN DE	E GROWTH A	CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS	3LE DEVELOP	3 STRATEGIC	Commun Ity Aspiratio ns No.	Administr ative Support	Administrative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support
NATIONAL	MEDHUM TE	INTEGRATI	FREE STAL	CIRCULAR	SUSTAINAL	MANGAUN	Ward No.	AIL	ALL	ALL	ALL





							Corrective Actions for under performan ce						
							Motivation for exceptional performanc e						
							Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1						
							Quarter 4 Targets		ಣ	1 annually as per MPRA	400%	100%	95%
							Quarter 3 Targets		က	1 annually as per MPRA	400%	100%	75%
							Quarter 2 Targets		ო	1 annually as per MPRA	400%	100%	50%
					USTAINABLE		Quarter 1 Targets		m	1 annually as per MPRA	100%	100%	25%
	STATE				RESILIENT AND S		SDBIP Target 2022/2023		updates	7	400%	100%	95%
	AL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE		FAINABLE JOB CREATION		EMENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE		SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator		Updated fixed asset register	Supplementary valuation rolls implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% compliance SCM regulation	% operation and capital expenditures against the
A TOPPOSTOR TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PERSON SERVICE STATE S	ETHICAL AND D	-	ND SUSTAINABLE	Sall Sales	N SETTLEMENT IN	TS	1DP Target 2022/2023		updales	2	400%	100%	95%
UTV	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHICA	EGRATION	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUST	GEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTL	혤	IDP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027		12	2	400%	100%	95%
FINANCIAL VIABILITY	PRIORITY 1- BUIL	01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	INCLUSIVE ECON	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	SDG 11 - MAKE C	FINANCIAL HEAL	Key Reformance Indicator		Updating of fixed asset register	1 interim valuation roll implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% of awarded contracts in line with SCM regulations	% operation and capital expenditures against the budget
			9				Baselino/Past performance 2021/2022		Updated fixed asset register	Supplementary valuation rolls implemented	100% compliance with legislative framework	100% compliance with SCM regulation	
(A)	#TSF)	EWORK (IUDF)	FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)			T OBJECTIVES	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN	accounts	nent of asset hent of hent of hent hent of hen	New valuer to be appointed Monthly Monthly Monthly valuations to be performed (although updated at least updated at least updated at least be-annually)	Verification done on DPSA and NT website to ensure the recommended bidder is not a public servant	£ 5	Timeous implementation of projects
MATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF	INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)	ND DEVELOPMENT	REFORMS	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	IDP DEVELOPMEN	Ward Commun ProgrammeP Strategies No. My roject. Aspiratio ns No.		Fixed asset compiled and the updated monthly Fixed asset compiled and the updated control fixed asset cont	Number of valuation rolls prepared and implemented	All risks of awarding tenders to employees of state are eliminated	All contracting is done in accordance with SCM policy	Financial viability/stabilit y
KEY PERFORM	RM STRATEG	D URBAN DEV	E GROWTH AN	CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS	SLE DEVELOP	STRATEGIC	Commun Hy Aspiratio ns No.		Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support	Administr ative Support
NATIONAL	MEDIUM TE	INTEGRATE	FREESTAT	CIRCULAR	SUSTAINAE	MANGAUN	Ward No.		ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL

A, E

25
of
- 9
agi
Pa

NATIONAL	KEY PERFOR	NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	(PA)		FINANCIAL VIABILITY	ILITY	The state of the s									
MEDIUM T	ERM STRATE	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF)	(MTSE)		PRIORITY 1: BUI	LDING A CAPABL	E, ETHICAL AND D	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHICAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE	STATE							
FREE STA	TE GROWTH A	IN LEGRALED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUD) FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (F	IN LEGRALED UNBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (UL)F) FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)	S	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GRO	NOMIC GROWTH A	INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION	E JOB CREATION								
CIRCULAR	CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS	4G REFORMS			FINANCIAL MAN	AGEMENT							-			
SUSTAINA	BLE DEVELO	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	(9)		SDG 11 - MAKE	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEM		ENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE	RESILIENT AND SU	ISTAINABLE						
MANGAUN	IG STRATEGI	MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	ENT OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL HEAL	FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS	UTS									
No.	Commun ity Aspiratio ns No.	Programme/P roject	Stratogies	Baseline/Past performance 2021/2022	IDP Outcome Key Performance Indicator	IDP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027	10P Target 2022/2023	SDBIP Output Key Performance indicator	2022/2023	Quarter 1 Targets	Quarter 2 Targets	Quarter 3 Targets	Guarter 4 Targets	Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1	Motivation for exceptional performanc e	Corrective Actions for under performan ce
								pndget								
ALL	Administr ative Support	Financial viability/stabilit y Cost coverage	Improve revenue collection to meet financial obligations	Improved revenue collection to meet financial obligations	Debt coverage	28%	26%	Debt coverage	26%	76%	26%	56%	76%			
ALL	Administr ative Support		Improve revenue collection to meet financial obligations	Improved revenue collection to meet financial obligations		%06	87%	Outstanding service debtors to revenue	%1%	87%	%28	87%	87%			
ALL	Administr ative Support		Improve revenue collection to meet financial obligations	Improved revenue collection to meet financial obligations	Cost coverage	2 months	2 months	Cost coverage	2 months	2 months	2 months	2 months	2 months			
ALL	Administr ative Support	Compliance with fn-Year- Reporting Requirements	Monthly submission of MFMA Section 71 Reports	12 Reports submitted on time		12	12 reports submitted on time	Timeous submission of MFMA Section 71 Reports	12 reports submitted on time	3 reports submitted on time	3 reports submitted on time	3 reports submitted on time	3 reports submitted on time			
ALL	Administr ative Support	Compliance with In-Year- Reporting Requirements Compilation of Funded Budget	Quarterly submission of MFMA Section 52 Reports	Quarterly Section 52 Reports not submitted on time	Timeous submission of MFMA Section 52 Reports	4	4 reports submitted on time	Timeous submission of MFMA Section 52 Reports	4 reports submitted on time	1 report submitted on time	1 report submitted on time	1 report submitted on time	1 report submitted on time			
ALL	Administr ative Support		Submission of Annual Financial Statements	Annual Financial Statements submitted to Auditor- General on time	Submission of Annual Financial Statements to Auditor- General on time	5	2 AFS Submitted to Auditor- General on time	Submission of Annual Financial Statements to Auditor- General on time	2 AFS Submitted to Auditor- General on time			5				
ALL	Administr ative Support		Timeous compilation of credible and funded Budgets	Funded budgets compiled and approved on	Funded and credible budgets adopted by	က	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by	Funded and credible budgets adopted by	At least 3 Budgets tabled/ adopted by			2	-			

MATIONA	I. KEY PERFOR	ATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA)	KPA)		FINANCIAL VIABILITY	BILITY										
MEDIUM	TERM STRATE	MEDIUM TERM STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (MTSF)	((MTSF)		PRICRITY 1: BU	ILDING A CAPABL	E. ETHICAL AND	PRIORITY 1: BUILDING A CAPABLE, ETHICAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE	STATE							
INTEGRA	TED URBAN DI	EVELOPMENT FR	NTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF)		01 - SPATIAL INTEGRATION	TEGRATION										
FREE ST.	ATE GROWTH	AND DEVELOPME	REE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS)	(SO)	INCLUSIVE ECO	NOMIC GROWTH	AND SUSTAINABI	NCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE JOB CREATION								
CIRCULA	CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS	NG REFORMS			FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	AGEMENT									1000	IN III M
SUSTAIN	ABLE DEVELO	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG)	(90		SDG 11 - MAKE	SDG 11 - MAKE CITIES AND HUMAN SETTLEM	AN SETTLEMENT	MENT INCLUSIVE, SAFE, RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE	RESILIENT AND S	SUSTAINABLE						
MANGAL	ING STRATEGI	C IDP DEVELOPIA	MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES		FINANCIAL HEA	FINANCIAL HEALTH IMPROVEMENTS										
Ward No.	Commun ity Aspiratio ns No.	Programme/P roject	Strategies	Baseline/Past performance 2021/2022	IDP Outcome Key Performance Indicator	IDP Five (5) Year Targets 2022/2027	IDP Target 2022/2023	SDBIP Output Key Performance Indicator	SDBIP Target 2022/2023	Quarter 1 Targets	Quarter 2 Targets	Quarter 3 Targets	Quarter 4 Targets	Assessme nt Score Level 5 - 1	Motivation for exceptional performanc e	Corrective Actions for under performan
				time	Council		Council	Council	Council							
ALL	Administr ative Support	Percentage increase on number of customers receiving accurate bills	Installation of prepaid water meters Operational meter reading handheld devices	of Reduced the ater interim meter readings	Reduce the interim meter readings	10%	10%	Reduce the interim meter readings	40%	25%	15%	13%	10%			

MMM GENERIC GOVERNANCE PERFOMANCE OBJECTIVES

GOVERNANCE		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE			Total of	
LEGISLATIVE KPAS	BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	OD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC	IDP KPA	GOOD GOVERNANCE	California de Calendario de Ca	KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3
IDP OBJECTIVE	KPI	TARGET	1st BIANNUAL REPORT	ANNUAL REPORT FINAL	MOTIVATION FOR UNDER Assessment Score PERFORMANCE AND 1 2 3 EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE	Assessment Score
Ensure good	Ensure good % spent on the city's capital	95% capex spend	50% capex spend	95% capex spend		

£ 25
6
ĭ
00
=
- 1
Page

TARGET T				The second secon				
budget % Implementation of the revenue and budget whe city whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and or generally accepted good performance in local government and risk management) within stipulated quality requirements **Compliance with the city's policy, procedure and or generally practices of managing performance in local government **Compliance with the city's policy, procedure and or generally practices of managing performance in local government **Compliance with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements **Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy, and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements **Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy policy policy policy city's system of delegation policy policy policy policy policy policy policy city's system of delegation policy city's system of delegation policy p	RVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANC ATION		DP KPA	GOOD GOVERNANCE		KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3	the IDP e	9.3
budget % Implementation of the revenue enhancement strategy related to the city concluded and implemented as it relates to the city % Annual procurement plan to concluded and implementation of address audit issues related to the city with the city spolicy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of performance in local government and or inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated quality requirements % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy procedure and in line with quality requirements planning processes (IDP and risk management) procedure and in line with quality requirements planning processes (IDP and risk management) procedure and in line with quality requirements planning processes (IDP and risk management) procedure and or generally accepted good practices of management) procedure and or generally accepted good practices of management and risk management 2 planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 provision of inputs into the city's planning processes management) within stipulated time frames and in line with quality requirements planning processes (IDP and risk and risk management 2 provision of inputs into the city's planning processes planning processes (IDP and risk and risk management 2 provision of inputs into the city's planning processes planning processes (IDP and risk and risk management 2 provision of inputs into the processes planning processes (IDP and risk and risk management 2 provision of inputs into the city's system of delegation policy procedure and or generally accepted good practices of a management 2 provision of inputs into the city's system of delegation policy and risk and	TARGET	-	ST BIANNUAL REPORT	ANNUAL REPORT FINAL	OR UN	Assessment Score	core	
% Implementation of the revenue 100% implementation of the revenue enhancement renhancement strategy related to revenue enhancement repeartment with conpliance with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good performance in local government planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with the city's system of delegation policy and veeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with the city's system of delegation policy policy.					PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE	1 2 3	4	2
% Implementation of the revenue 100% implementation of the city strategy related to revenue enhancement remains the city with the city solicy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good performance in local government planning processes (IDP and in firm frames and in line with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated quality requirements % Compliance with the city's policy, policy, and risk management 2 time frames and in line with the city's policy, and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's policy, policy city's system of delegation policy city's system of delegation policy policy.								
the city **Annual procurement plan concluded and implemented as it concluded and implementation of address audit plan to address audit plan	\vdash	-		100% implementation of the				
the city Annual procurement plan Concluded and implemented as it relates to the city relates to the city in the department with the city address audit issues related to the city address audit issues related to address audit the city with the city address audit issues related to the repartment work of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure performance is managed in performance is managed in managing performance in local government sure good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes citive management of the time frames and in line with the city's system of delegation city's system of delegation policy policy and compliance with the city's conpilated to the city's planning processes city's system of delegation policy policy and its requirements controlled and risk management 2 and risk management 2 and risk management 3 city's system of delegation policy procedure and delegation policy policy procedure and in line with the city's system of delegation policy		_	revenue enhancement strategy	revenue enhancement				
% Annual procurement plan % Annual procurement plan concluded and implemented as it relates to the city % implementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to address audit issues related to the city % of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure performance in local government good provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated management city planning processes management management where the time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's policy whose performance in local government local government and risk management city's planning processes and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's policy weeks earlier than stipulated and risk management city's system of delegation policy policy and of staff whose performance in local government and or generally processes in management and risk management concepted good and risk management and risk management city's planning processes and in line with duality requirements where sarrier than stipulated and risk management city's system of delegation policy		the	elated to the department	strategy related to the				
% Annual procurement plan concluded and implemented as it annual procurement plan of relates to the city % implementation of audit plan to address audit plan to address audit issues related to the address audit issues related to the city the city % of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure performance is managed in procedure and or generally accepted good procedure and or generally accepted good procedure and planning processes (IDP and risk management) good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy good processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy	5			department				
concluded and implemented as it the department relates to the city % implementation of audit plan to address audit plan to address audit seues related to the city the city % of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government plocal government and/ or generally accepted good practices of performance in local government plocal government and/ or generally accepted good practices of performance in local government and/ or generally accepted good practices of performance in local government processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy policy policy and implementation of inputs into the delegation policy policy policy and in the sity's system of delegation policy policy		₽	50% implementation of annual	100% implementation of				
relates to the city wimplementation of audit plan to address audit issues related to the city address audit issues related to the city with the city's policy, procedure good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's wimplementation of address audit plan to address audit plan to the city and the city's policy, and the city's policy, and or generally accepted good procedure and or generally practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's young the city's planning processes and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's policy policy	_	_	plan	annual procurement plan of			_	
% implementation of audit plan to address audit address audit issues related to audit plan to address audit the city address audit issues related to the recity and/ or staff in DCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally practices of managing performance in local government and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally practices of managing performance in local government and/ or generally into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 innert of the time frames and in line with quality requirements Compliance with the city's planning processes and in line with quality requirements Compliance with the city's city's system of delegation policy policy				the department				
the city the city wo fistaff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure good performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's which is system of delegation policy audity requirements which is system of delegation policy audity requirements audity paractices and in line with the city's system of delegation policy performance is managed in the rediverence is managed in the rediverence in local government and performance in local government management of inputs into the city's planning processes management of the time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's city's system of delegation policy policy	100%	ਰ	50% implementation of audit	100% implementation of				
the city % of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 ime frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's % Compliance with the city's policy, accepted good practices of approximance in local government and planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 ime frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's planning processes % Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy profity system of delegation policy performance is managed in the city's policy, accepted good practices of approximance in local government managing performance in local government approximance and in line with the city's system of delegation policy		-	plan to address audit issues	audit plan to address audit			_	
% of staff in OCM whose 100% of staff whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management?) within stipulated and risk management 2 iment of the time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's city's system of delegation policy policy		ed to the	related to the department	issues related to the			_	
% of staff in OCM whose performance is managed in line with the city's policy, procedure with the city's policy, and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's city's system of delegation policy performance in local government and and risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with quality requirements and in line with quality requirements city's system of delegation policy policy	department			department				
with the city's policy, procedure line with the city's policy, and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 managements with the city's planning processes and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's city's system of delegation policy policy with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally procedure and/ or gen	100% of	l	₫	100% of staff whose				
with the city's policy, procedure and/ or generally accepted good practices of managing good provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 management) within stipulated and risk management 2 management 2 management 2 management 3 management 4 time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's planning with the system of delegation policy procedure and/ or generally procedure an		is managed in	performance is managed in	performance is managed in				
and/ or generally accepted good procedure and/ or generally practices of managing performance in local government good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 iment of the time frames and in line with quality requirements **Compliance with the city's planning brocesses and in line with quality requirements **Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy performance in local government in processes (IDP and risk management 2 iment of the time frames and in line with quality requirements **Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy		city's policy,	line with the city's policy,	line with the city's policy,				
performance in local government managing performance in local government local government good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the planning processes (IDP and risk management) within stipulated and risk management 2 managements with time frames and in line with quality requirements with the city's 100% compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy performance of a system of delegation policy	-	d/ or generally	procedure and/ or generally	procedure and/ or generally		_		
good Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes and planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 and risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated quality requirements and in line with quality requirements 4. Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy policy		d practices of	accepted good practices of	accepted good practices of				
good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 and risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated quality requirements time frames and in line with quality requirements 4% Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy policy	_	erformance in	managing performance in local	managing performance in				
good Provision of inputs into the city's Provision of inputs into the city's planning processes (IDP and risk management 2 management) within stipulated and risk management 2 meeks earlier than stipulated quality requirements time frames and in line with quality requirements 4. Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy policy	local governm	ent	government	local government				
and planning processes (IDP and risk rity's planning processes management) within stipulated and risk management 2 time frames and in line with quality requirements which remains and in line with quality requirements and line with quality requirements.	_	inputs into the	Provision of inputs into the	Provision of inputs into the				
the time frames and in line with quality requirements with quality requirements (Compliance with the city's system of delegation policy) and risk management 2 weeks earlier than stipulated time frames and in line with quality requirements (Compliance with the system of delegation policy) policy	-	ng processes	city's planning processes and	city's planning processes				
the time frames and in line with weeks earlier than stipulated tune frames and in line with time frames and in line with quality requirements % Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the system of delegation policy policy		anagement 2	risk management 2 weeks	and risk management 2				
4 quality requirements time frames and in line with frames quality requirements require % Compliance with the city's 100% compliance with the 100% system of delegation policy policy policy		than stipulated	earlier than stipulated time	weeks earlier than stipulated				
quality requirements require 100% compliance with the 100% city's system of delegation city's policy policy		and in line with	frames and in line with quality	time frames and in line with				
100% compliance with the 100% city's system of delegation city's policy	quality require	ments	requirements	quality requirements				
city's system of delegation city's policy		ance with the		100% compliance with the				
		of delegation	city's system of delegation	city's system of delegation				
	7		policy	policy				
% increase in implementation of 100% implementation of the 100% implementation of		entation of the	100% implementation of the	100% implementation of the				*:



GOVERNANCE						
LEGISLATIVE KPAS	BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	OD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC	IDP KPA	GOOD GOVERNANCE		KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3
IDP OBJECTIVE	KPI	TARGET	1st BIANNUAL REPORT	ANNUAL REPORT FINAL	MOTIVATION FOR UNDER PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE	Assessment Score
	the city's SDBIP	city's SDBIP	city's SDBIP	city's SDBIP		
	% implementation of employment	100% implementation of	50% implementation of 100% implementation of	100% implementation of		
	equity targets set for OCM in the	employment equity targets	employment equity targets set employment equity targets	employment equity targets		
	city's' employment equity plan	set for department in the	for department in the city's'	set for department in the		
		city's' employment equity	employment	city's' employment equity		
		plan		plan		
	% adherence to targets set by the 100% adherence to targets	100% adherence to targets	50% adherence to targets set	100% adherence to targets		
	city on the subnational	set by the city on the	by the city on the subnational set by the city on the	set by the city on the		
	programme of doing business for	subnational programmes	programmes doing business	subnational programmes		
	the department	doing business for the	for the department	doing business for the		
		department		department		

STRATEGIC RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SUPPORT TEAM

MOTIVATION FOR UNDER Assessment Score	7																					
TARGET	EXC	100% Support to NCR	100% of strategic leadership	All identify challenges and proposed solutions to ensure provision of uninterrupted basic service to	the community and prudent financial management are achieved	Provided advise and support on the approach to	the implementation of FRP activities to optimize	the reduction of operational expenditure and	increase of revenue for the municipality	100% compliance with statutory prescripts				All monthly reports on the implementation of the	FRP submitted to the NCR	All delegated authority and responsibilities as may	be assigned from time to time by the NCR	executed	All reports presented to IGR structures of	government		All recommendations on the effective utilization of human resources are complete
KPI		To support NCR in the implementation of the terms of reference	To provide strategic leadership and direction to the relevant pillars of sustainability as provided for in the FRP	To identify challenges and propose solutions to ensure provision of uninterrupted basic service to the	community and prudent financial management	To advise and support on the approach to the	implementation of FRP activities to optimize the	reduction of operational expenditure and increase of	revenue for the municipality	To recommend and ensure implementation of	internal controls, procedures and systems for good	governance, prudent financial management and	prescripts	To prepare and submit monthly reports on the	implementation of the FRP to the NCR	To execute all delegated authority and	responsibilities as may be assigned from time to time	by the NCR	To prepare and present progress at meetings of the	oversight and intergovernmental relations structure of	government	To conduct an assessment and make recommendations on the effective utilization of
OBJECTIVE		Facilitate effective implementation of the approved Financial Recovery Plan (FRP)	and achieving financial recovery and provision of reliable and uninterrupted basic services																			



Page - 20 - of 25

OBJECTIVE	EQ.	TARGET	MOTIVATION FOR UNDER		smen	Assessment Score	
			PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE	-	2		ر د
	human resources in their areas of expertise				_		
	To provide technical and strategic support to Heads	100% technical and strategic support to Heads of					
	of Department in coordinating operational activities in	Department					
	an economic, effective and efficient manner to						
	achieve value for money						
	To assist in the development and review of	100% assistance in the development and review of					
	departmental business plans	departmental business plans					
	To review policies, by-laws, strategies and plans in	100% reviewed policies, by-laws, strategies and					
	their respective areas of expertise	plans					
	Assist in the review and amendment of the financial	100% review and amendment of the financial					
	recovery plan as and when required	recovery plan as and when required					
	To advise on the strategic management of municipal	100% advise on the strategic management of					
	entities	municipal entities					
	To provide written inputs and advise on all reports for	100% provision of written inputs and advise on all					
	decision-making in terms of Council approved	reports for decision-making in terms of Council					
	system of delegations.	approved system of delegations					

Caffer Art Africa

Job title: Signed

Signed by the Acting City Manager on behalf of the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality's Council

Page - 22 - of 25

Key Performance Area	Weighting	Acting City Manager's	Head of Department's Rating	Final / Consolidated Score	Reason for Final Score
		rating			
-					
2					
3					
4					
2					
9					
7					
Total:	100	Final Score			

5/20

Consolidated Score Sheet

PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

MUNICIPALITY:

INCUBENT:

	ORT TO:
1.	What are the competencies required for this job (refer to competency profile of job description)?
2.	What are competencies from the above list, does the job holder already possess?
3.	What then are the competency gaps? (if the job holder possesses all the necessary competencies, complete No's 5 and 6).
4.	Actions/Training interventions to address the gaps/needs
5.	Indicate the competencies required for future career progression/development



7. CONTROL SHEET

TO BE UPDATED BY CITY MANAGER

PLANNING PHASE		
Date of 1st planning meeting	Date of 2 nd planning meeting	
Date copy of performance plan handed to Head of Department	Acting City Manager	

COACHING PHASE

(Keep a record of meetings held to g	ive feedback to the Head o	of Departments on	performance related issues)
Date of Feedback Meeting	Performance issue discu	issed and correct	ive action to be taken
Date of formal half year review	<u> </u>		
REVIEWING PHASE			
Date Head of Department notified of formal review meeting	1)		
Date of 1st review meeting			
Date of 2 nd Review meeting			
Date of 3rd Review meeting			
Date of 4th Review meeting			
Acting City Manager		Signature	



6.	Action/Training interventions to address future progression
7.	Comments/Remarks of the Incumbent
8.	Comments/Remarks of the supervisor
Agre	ed upon
_	ature: Tolularium Tolularium Tebago Motlashupna
Date:	20/17/2005

ÇF

Signature: Incumbent:

Date:

