

<u>PERFORMANCE</u> <u>AGREEMENT</u>

Corporate Services

Sello J More (City
Manager) on behalf of
the Municipality and
Mokete V Duma (HOD)
Employee of the

Employee of the Municipality

01 July 2025 - 30 June 2026

25

M

M

111-5

M-3

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

The Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality herein represented by Sello J More (full name) in his capacity as City Manager. (Hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

and

Mokete V Duma (full name) Employee of the Municipality (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 54A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 and as amended ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems 1.4 Act.

PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 communicate the employer's performance expectations and accountabilities to the employee, by specifying objectives and targets as defined in the Integrated Development Plan and the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP).

Page 1 of 23

M-3 T-C

- specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which must be in a format substantially compliant to 2.3 Appendix "A";
- monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs; 2.4
- use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance 2.5 expectations applicable to the position; and
- appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in 2.6 the event of performance,

COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION 3

- This Agreement will commence on the 01 July 2025 and will remain in force until 30 June 2026 where 3.1 after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan must I be concluded between the parties for each of the following financial years or any portion thereof for the duration of the Agreement of Employment.
- This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's employment for any reason 3.2 whatsoever.
- The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to 3.3 determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of 3.4 government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents must immediately be revised.
- Any significant amendments or deviations must take cognizance of the requirements of section 34 and 3.5 42 of the Systems Act, and regulation 4(5) of the Regulations.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- The Performance Plan (Annexure A) must sets out-4.1
 - the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and 4.1.1
 - the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met. 4.1.2

Page 2 of 23 M

M.5 7-9

- The performance objectives and targets reflected in Performance Plan must: 4.2
 - Be set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee; a)
 - Be based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation b) Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer, and
 - Include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weightings. c)

4.3 It is agreed that-

- i. The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done.
- The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved.
- The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved.
- The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and 4.4 strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM 5

- The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts 5.1 or introduces to the Municipality and accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- The Employer must consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that are included 5.2 in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee must be assessed on his or her performance in terms of the performance indicators 5.3 identified in the attached Performance Plan and include =
 - The Key Performance Areas; and
 - Core Managerial Competencies
- Page 3 of 23 M

 M-S

 M.J 7. Ca The Key Performance Areas will make up 80% of the Employee's assessment score, and will contain 5.4 the following:

| Key Performance Areas (80% of Total) | Weighting |
|--|-----------|
| Basic Service Delivery | 20 |
| Municipal Institutional Development and transformation | 20 |
| Local Economic Development (LED) | 20 |
| Municipal Financial Viability and Management | 20 |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | 20 |
| Total | 100% |

The Core Management Criteria (CMC) will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment 5.5 score, and are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected form the list below as agreed between the Employer and Employee

| CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC) | 1 | WEIGHT |
|---|------------|--------|
| Strategic Direction and Leadership | | 5 |
| Programme and Project Management | | 5 |
| Financial Management | compulsory | 10 |
| Change Management | | 5 |
| Knowledge Management | | 5 |
| Service Delivery Innovation | | 5 |
| Problem Solving and Analysis | | 5 |
| People Management and Empowerment | compulsory | 10 |
| Client Orientation and Customer Focus | compulsory | 10 |
| Communication | | 5 |
| Accountability and Ethical Conduct | | 5 |
| Policy Conceptualisation and implementation | | 5 |
| Mediation Skills | | 5 |
| Advanced Negotiation Skills | | 5 |
| Advanced influencing skills | | 5 |
| Partnership and Stakeholder Relations | | 5 |
| Supply Chain Management | | 5 |
| Total percentage | | 100% |

Page 4 of 23 M

M.J T.a

EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement must sets out -6.1
 - the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and a)
 - the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance. b)
- Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the 6.2 Employee's performance at any stage during the validity of the agreement of Employment
- Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion, as well as 6.3 the actions and timeframes agreed to, must be documented in a Personal Development Plan which must be in a format substantially compliant to Annexure "B"
- The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set 6.4 out in the Employer's IDP.
- The annual performance appraisal will involve: 6.5
 - An assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - ii. An assessment of each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed
 - A rating on the five-point scale for each Key Performance Area; and
 - The use of the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final core.
- The Core Management Criteria must be assessed -6.6.
- according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met. (a)
- with an indicative rating on the five-point scale for each Criteria; and (b)
- using the applicable assessment rating calculator to add the scores and calculate a final score. (d)

Page 5 of 23 M

M M.S

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator, which represents the 6.7 outcome of the performance appraisal, provided that the performance assessment of the Employee will be used on the following rating scale for both Key Performance Indicators and Core Management Criteria

| Level | Terminology | Description | Ratir | ng | | | |
|-------|-----------------|---|-------|----|---|---|--|
| | | | 1 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 5 | Outstanding | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at | | | | | |
| | performance | this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved | | | | | |
| | | above fully effective results against all performance criteria and | | | | | |
| | | indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and | | | | | |
| | | maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year. | | | | | |
| 4 | Performance | Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in | | | | | |
| | significantly | the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved | | | | | |
| | above | above fully effective results against more than half of the | | | | | |
| | expectations | performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others | | | | | |
| | | throughout the year. | | | | | |
| 3 | Fully effective | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the | | | | | |
| | | job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved | | | | | |
| | | effective results against all significant performance criteria and | | | | | |
| | | indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. | | | | | |
| 2 | Not fully | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key | | | | | |
| | effective | areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the | | | | | |
| | | job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has | | | | | |
| | | achieved below fully effective results against more than half the | | | | | |
| | | key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and | | | | | |
| | | Performance Plan. | | | | | |
| 1 | Unacceptable | Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The | | | | | |
| | performance | review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved | | | | | |
| | | below fully effective results against almost all of the performance | | | | | |
| | | criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance | | | | | |
| | | Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or | | | | | |
| | | ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job | | | | | |
| | | despite management efforts to encourage improvement. | | | | | |

The performance of the Employee must be evaluated by an evaluation panel constituted in terms of 6.8 regulation 27 (4)(e) and (f) of the Regulations.

Page 6 of 23 /

M-5 T.C.

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

7.1 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on any of the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

| Evaluation | Period | Review Date |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| First quarter | July - September | October – December |
| Second quarter | October – December | January – March |
| Third quarter | January – March | April – June |
| Fourth quarter | April – June | July – September |
| Annual Performance Review | July – June | July – September |

Provided that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory

- 7.2 The **Employer** shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings *and* feedback must I be based on the **Employer**'s assessment of the **Employee**'s performance.
- 7.3 The **Employer** may amend the provisions of Performance Plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

8. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 8.1 The Employer must -
 - 8.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
 - 8.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
 - 8.1.3 work collaboratively with the **Employee** to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the **Employee**;
 - 8.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 8.1.5 make available to the **Employee** such resources as the **Employee** may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

Page 7 of 23

ST

n

M-5 7.9

CONSULTATION

- The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will-9.1
 - have a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
 - commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
 - have a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the 9.2 exercise of powers contemplated in sub-clause (1) above as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

10. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding 10.1 performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive annual remuneration package may be 10.2 paid to the Employee in recognition of outstanding performance, as per regulation 32(2) of the Regulations
- In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -10.3
 - must provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to 10.3.1 improve his or her performance; and
 - may after appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance 10.3.2 and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

11. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- Any disputes about the nature of the Employee's performance agreement, must be mediated by -11.1
 - a. the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, in case of the Municipal Manager, or any other person appointed by the said Member of the Executive Council; and

Page 8 of 23 M

M.S T.C.



- b. the Mayor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee
- Any disputes about the outcome of the Employee's performance evaluation, must be mediated by -11.2
 - the Member of the Executive Council responsible for local government in the province, or any other person appointed by the MEC, in the case of the Municipal Manager, and
 - b. a Municipal Councilor, in the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, provided such a Councilor was not part of the evaluation panel contemplated in regulation 27(4)(e) of the Regulations, within thirty days or receipt of a formal dispute from the employee

12. GENERAL

- The employer must make the contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms 12.1 of the Performance Plan available to the public as contemplated in section 46 of the Systems Act.
- Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of 12.2 his/ her Agreement of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible 12.3 for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Thus done and signed at <u>Bloemfontein</u> on the <u>16</u> of <u>July</u> 2025

AS WITNESSES:

AS WITNESSES

CITY MANAGER

Page 9 of 23

Mil Tic

ANNEXURE A PERFORMANCE PLAN

| PERFORMANCE SCOI | PERFORMANCE SCORECARD - SECTION 56 EMPLOYEE | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Employee Name: | Mokete V Duma | Employee Number | 180043 |
| Job Title: | Head of Department | Department: | Corporate Service |
| Manager: | City Manager | Date (Financial Year): | 01 July 2025 – 30 June 2026 |
| Position Purpose: | To carry out the functions as accounting officer and head of administration in the Municipality | n the Municipality | |
| The period of this Per | The period of this Performance Plan is from 01 July 2025 – 30 June 2026 | | |
| Signed and accepted by Department: Mokete V Duma | Signed and accepted by the Head of Department: Mokete V Duma | Date: 18 June 2025 | 25 |
| Signed by the City Manager: Sello J More | inager: Sello J More | Date: 18/07/2025 | بار |
| By signing this perforn employee both acknowl | By signing this performance scorecard the manager and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of, and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the employee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance with the Municipality's Performance Management Policy. | erstanding of, and agreement with the ement Policy. | contents of the scorecard. The manager and the |

9

Page - 11 - of 23

5-12

Ļ

1. Purpose

The performance plan defines the council expectation of the Head of Department's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal System Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan and as reviewed annually.

2. Key responsibilities

The following objects of local government will inform the Head of Department's performance against set performance indicators:

- 2.1 Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities.
- 2.2 Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner
- 2.3 Promote social and economic development
- 2.4 Promote a safe and healthy environment
- 2.5 Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisation in the matters of local government

3. Key Performance Area

The following Key Performance Area (KPAs) as outline in the Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly Accountable to Municipal Managers (2006), inform the strategic objective listed in the table below:

- 3.1 Basic Service Delivery.
- 3.2 Municipal Institutional Development and transformation
- 3.3 Local Economic Development (LED)
- 3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management
- 3.5 Good Governance and Public Participation

4. Key Performance Objectives and Indicators, for the Municipal Manager and Managers Directly accountable to Municipal Manager

The provision and statutory time frames contained in the following legislation are required to be reported on and measured:

- 4.1 Section 157 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
- 4.2 Local Government Municipal performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly (Regulation No. R805, dated 1 August 2006)

Page - 12 - of 23

WA

M.5 T.9

- Regulations No.796 (Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulation, 4.3 2001) dated 24 August 2001
- Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003, in particular, but not limited to Chapter 8. (must include, inter 4.4 alia, tariff policy, rates policy, credit control and debt collection policy, supply chain management policy and an unqualified Auditor General's report)
- 4.5 Property Rates Act, 2004
- Municipal Structures Act, 1998, in particular, but not limited to, Chapter 5 (Powers and functions as 4.6 determined by legislation or agreement)
- Municipal System Act 2000, in particular, but not limited to sections 55 to 57 4.7
- Any other applicable legislation specific to the Municipal Manager or Managers accountable to Municipal 4.8 Manager

Page - 13 - of 23

M-5 T.C

MMM PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES PER DEPARTMENT AS PER THE SDBIP

Corporate Services

| NATIONAL KE | NATIONAL KEY PERFORMANCE AREA (NKPA) | | D GOVERNANCE A | GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION | ATION | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|--|
| (MTDP) | MEDIUM TERM DEVELOPMENT PLAN (MTDP) | | STRATEGIC PRIORITY 3 : A CAPABLE, | 24 | ETHICAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL STATE. | ENTAL STATE. | | | | |
| INTEGRATED URBAN FRAMEWORK (IUDF) | NTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (IUDF) | | 02 - INCLUSION AND ACCESS 03 - GROWTH 04 - GOVERNANCE | CESS | | | | | | |
| FREE STATE DEVELOPMEN | FREE STATE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (FSGDS) | | GOOD GOVERNANCE AND IMPROVED | | QUAILITY OF LIFE | | | | | |
| CIRCULAR 88 | CIRCULAR 88 REPORTING REFORMS | | GOOD GOVERNANCE | | | | | | | |
| SUSTAINABLE (SDG) | SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL (SDG) | | GOAL 8 – PROMOTE SUSTAINED, INCI FOR ALL. GOAL 17 – STRENGTHEN THE MEANS | | USIVE AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC GROWTH, FULL AND PRODUCTIVE EMPLOYMENT AND DECENT WORK OF IMPLEMENTATION AND REVITALIZE THE GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT. | ECONOMIC GR | OWTH, FULL AN | D PRODUCTIVE | EMPLOYMENT AN | D DECENT WORK |
| MANGAUNG & | MANGAUNG STRATEGIC IDP DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES | ORG | ORGANISATIONAL STRENGTH | ENGTH | | | | | | |
| KPI No | Strategic Intent | 2024/2025 Past Year Performance (Q1 – Q3) | t Budget Allocation 2025/2026 | Output Indicators (SDBIP) | Annual Target (SDBIP) | | SDBIP Que | SDBIP Quarterly Targets | | Portfolio of Evidence to be submitted |
| 1 | | | | | The state of the | 94 | 075 | 03 | 04 | Distriction of the last |
| CS1 | GG1. Improved municipal capability | 3 million | 3,8 Million | Number of beneficiaries trained | 170 beneficiaries | 35 | 50 | 50 | 35 | Quarterly training report. Completion certificate, Attendance register |
| CS2 | | 61.45% | ОРЕХ | GG 1.21 Staff vacancy rate | %09 | 61% | 60.50% | %09 | 59.50% | Vacancy percentage information report |

| abort | | | ata ATA | <u> </u> |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Movement report | Agenda Minutes of meetings | Agenda Minutes of meetings | ONLINE CRM CALL LOGGING SYSTEM DATA (on request) | -Project Plan -SLA -User Acceptance Testing Letter |
| 40% | 100% | %0% | % 0.2 | Training conducted by DRaaS provider & DRaaS fully operational |
| 40% | 100% | 20% | % 0.2 | DRaaS implementation & DR Testing |
| %0 | 100% | 20% | % 02 | DRaaS Planning Documentation |
| %0 | 100% | %09 | % 02 | None |
| %08 | 400% | %09 | % 02 | 1 x DRaaS solution implemented |
| GG1.22 Percentage of vacant posts filled within 6 months | GG 2.11 Percentage of ward committees with 6 or more ward committee members (excluding the ward councillor) | GG 2.12 Percentage of wards that have held a quarterly councillor-convened community meeting | GG2.31 Percentage of official complaints responded to through the municipal complaint management system | Number of Disaster Recovery as a Service solution implemented |
| OPEX | OPEX | OPEX | OPEX | R12m |
| %09 | 100% | 30% | Q1 JUL 2024:81% AUG 2024:77% SEP 2024:77% 76 % OCT 2024:73% NOV 2024:72% DEC 2024:74% 73 % G3 JAN 2025:67% FEB 2025:70% | Q1 JUL-SEP 2024 100% Q2 OCT-DEC 2024 100% |
| | GG2. Improved municipal responsiveness | | | |
| CS3 | CS4 | CS5 | OS C | CS7 |

a

₹Page - 15 - of 23

J. W. J. M. C.

| S | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| Declaration letters | Agenda Minutes of meetings | List of Suspension letters | Completion Certificate | Completion Certificate | Technical report for procurement and suppliers' quotations | |
| None | 85% | 0 | - | | 12 | |
| None | 85% | 0 | None | None | 0 | |
| 25% | 85% | 0 | None | None | 0 | |
| 75% | 85% | 0 | None | None | 0 | LTTY 1 |
| 100% | 85% | 0 | 1 x building fitted and operational | 1 x building protected | 12 Vehicles | KPA: FINANCIAL VIABILITY |
| GG 3.12 Percentage of councillors who have declared their financial interests | Percentage of Council meetings held per quarter as per the approved schedule of meetings | GG 5.11 Number of active suspensions longer than three months | Installation of Solar Panel at municipal building | Installation of security parameter fencing at municipal buildings | 12 waste specialised vehicles procured | KPA: FIN |
| ОРЕХ | OPEX | OPEX | 2 000 000 | 1 000 000 | 30,2 million | , |
| | 100% | Q1 10 Q2 10 Q3 9 | - | - | 15 | |
| GG3. Improved municipal administration | Improved council functionality | GG5. Zero tolerance of fraud and corruption | Improved energy sustainability | Protection of municipal assets and buildings | To enhance service delivery to have reliable Fleet | |
| cs8 | 680 | CS10 | CS11 | CS12 | CS13 | |

Page - 16 - of 23

¹ The Financial Viability indicators are included as part of the FRP and improvement of audit outcomes.

| Management Letter and Audit Action Plan | Section 71 report | Section 71 report | Payment report |
|---|---|--|--|
| - | %96% | %\$6 | Submit GRN and Invoices to finance department within 15 days after submissions by Service providers |
| 0 repeat audit findings | %19 | 75% | Submit GRN and Invoices to finance department within 15 days after submissions by Service providers |
| 1 | 40% | %05 | Submit GRN and Invoices to finance department within 15 days after submissions by Service providers |
| | 15% | 25% | Submit GRN and Invoices to finance department within 15 days after submissions by Service providers |
| 0 repeat audit findings | %56 | %56 | Submit GRN and Invoices to finance department within 15 days after submissions by Service providers |
| GG 3.11 Number of repeat audit findings | FM1.11 Total Capital Expenditure as a percentage of Total Capital Budget | FM1.12 Total Operating Expenditure as a percentage of Total Operating Expenditure Budget | FM4.31 Creditors payment period |
| None | 43 628 703 | 380 128 000 | 1 |
| | 13,54% | 62,09% | 23.42 days |
| GG3. Improved municipal administration | FM1. Enhanced municipal budgeting and budget implementation | | |
| CS14 | CS15 | CS16 | CS17 |

Page - 17 - of 23

M. M.

MMM GENERIC GOVERNANCE PERFOMANCE OBJECTIVES

| GOVERNANCE I EGISI ATIVE KPAS | RASIC SERVICE DEI IVERY AND GOO | ي | ПР КРА | GOOD GOVERNANCE | | KPA | KPA No (No in the IDP e n.3 | the IDP | 6.03 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|---------|------|
| | PARTICIPATION | 2 | | | | 2 | | | 2.50 |
| IDP OBJECTIVE | KPI | TARGET | 1st BIANNUAL REPORT | ANNUAL REPORT FINAL | FOR UN | _ | Assessment Score | core | |
| | | | | | PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE | , | 2 | 4 | Ω. |
| Ensure good | % Annual procurement plan | 100% implementation of | 50% implementation of annual | 100% implementation of | | | | | |
| governance and | concluded and implemented as it | annual procurement plan of | procurement plan of the | annual procurement plan of | | | | | |
| effective | relates to the city | the department | department | the department | | | | | |
| management of the | % of staff in OCM whose | 100% of staff whose | 100% of staff whose | 100% of staff whose | | | | | |
| | performance is managed in line | performance is managed in | performance is managed in | performance is managed in | | | | | |
| | with the city's policy, procedure | line with the city's policy, | line with the city's policy, | line with the city's policy, | | | | | |
| | _ | procedure and/ or generally | procedure and/ or generally | procedure and/ or generally | | | | | |
| | practices of managing | accepted good practices of | accepted good practices of | accepted good practices of | | | | | |
| | performance in local government | managing performance in | managing performance in local | managing performance in | | | | | |
| | | local government | government | local government | | | | | _ |
| Ensure good | Provision of inputs into the city's | Provision of inputs into the | Provision of inputs into the | Provision of inputs into the | | | | | |
| governance and | planning processes (IDP and risk | city's planning processes | city's planning processes and | city's planning processes | | | | | _ |
| effective | management) within stipulated | and risk management 2 | risk management 2 weeks | and risk management 2 | | | _ | | |
| management of the | time frames and in line with | weeks earlier than stipulated | earlier than stipulated time | weeks earlier than stipulated | | | | | _ |
| | quality requirements | time frames and in line with | frames and in line with quality | time frames and in line with | | | | | _ |
| | | quality requirements | requirements | quality requirements | | | | | _ |
| | Identification and management of | 100% management and | 100% management and | 100% management and | | | | | |
| | strategic risks | mitigation of identified | mitigation of identified strategic | mitigation of identified | | | | | |
| | | strategic risks | risks | strategic risks | | | | | _ |
| | % Compliance with the city's | 100% compliance with the | 100% compliance with the | 100% compliance with the | | | * 0 | | |
| | system of delegation policy | city's system of delegation | city's system of delegation | city's system of delegation | | | | | |
| | | policy | policy | policy | | | | | |
| | % increase in implementation of | 100% implementation of the | 100% implementation of the | 100% implementation of the | | | | | |
| | the city's SDBIP | city's SDBIP | city's SDBIP | city's SDBIP | | | | | |
| | % implementation of employment | 100% implementation of | 50% implementation of | 100% implementation of | | | | | |
| | equity targets set for OCM in the | employment equity targets | employment equity targets set | employment equity targets | | | | | _ |
| | city's' employment equity plan | set for department in the | for department in the city's' | set for department in the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Page - 18 - of 23

Z

1-W 5-W (2.

| LEGISLATIVE KPAS | BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION | OD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC | IDP KPA | GOOD GOVERNANCE | | KPA No (No in the IDP e.g.3 | 6.9 |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|-----|
| IDP OBJECTIVE | KPI | TARGET | 1st BIANNUAL REPORT | ANNUAL REPORT FINAL | MOTIVATION FOR UNDER PERFORMANCE AND EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE | Assessment Score | 2 |
| | | city's' employment equity plan | employment | city's' employment equity plan | | | |
| | % adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational | 100% adherence to targets set by the city on the | 50% adherence to targets set by the city on the subnational | 100% adherence to targets set by the city on the | | | |
| | programme of doing business for | subnational programmes | programmes doing business | subnational programmes | | | |
| | the department | doing business for the department | for the department | doing business for the department | | | |
| | % compliance with the provision | 100% compliance on a | 100% compliance on a | 100% compliance on a | | | |
| | of the Occupational Health and | quarterly basis with the | quarterly basis with the | quarterly basis with the | | | |
| | Safety Act (Act 85 of 1993) | Occupational Health and | Occupational Health and | Occupational Health and | | | |
| | (OHSA) | Salety elements. | Salety elements. | Salety elements. | | | - |
| Facilitate effective | To advise and support on the | Provided advise and support | Provided advise and support | Provided advise and support | | | |
| implementation of the | approach to the implementation | on the approach to the | on the approach to the | on the approach to the | | | |
| approved rinancial | of FRP activities to optimize the | implementation of FRP | implementation of FRP | implementation of FRP | | | |
| and achieving | reduction of operational | activities to optimize the | + | activities to optimize the | | | |
| sial rec | expenditure and increase of | reduction of operational | reduction of operational | reduction of operational | | | _ |
| provision of reliable | revenue for the municipality | expenditure and increase of | expenditure and increase of | expenditure and increase of | | | |
| and uninterrupted | | revenue for the municipality | revenue for the municipality | revenue for the municipality | | | |
| basic services | To recommend and ensure | 100% compliance with | 100% compliance with | 100% compliance with | | | |
| | implementation of internal | statutory prescripts | statutory prescripts | statutory prescripts | | | |
| | controls, procedures and systems | | | | | | |
| | for good governance, prudent | | | | | | _ |
| | financial management and | | | | | | |
| | effective service delivery in | | | | | | |
| | compliance with statutory | | | | | | |
| | procorinte | | | | | _ | |

J.M.C.

Page - 19 - of 23

THE CORPORATE SERVICES

Signed /

Signed by City Manager on behalf of the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality's Council

Consolidated Score Sheet

| Key Performance Area | Weighting | City Manager's rating | Head of Department's Rating | Final / Consolidated Score | Reason for Final Score |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| - | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| r | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| ın. | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| Total: | 100 | Final Score | | | |

Page - 20 - of 23

CONTROL SHEET 7 🐷

TO BE UPDATED BY CITY MANAGER

| PLANNING PHASE | | |
|--|--|--|
| Date of 1st planning meeting | Date of 2 nd planning meeting | |
| Date copy of performance plan handed to Head of Department | City Manager | |

COACHING PHASE

| Date of Feedback Meeting | Performance issue discussed and corrective action to be taken | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Date of formal half year review | | | |
| REVIEWING PHASE | | | |
| Date Head of Department notified of formal review meeting | | | |
| Date of 1st review meeting | | | |
| Date of 2 nd Review meeting | | | |
| Date of 3 rd Review meeting | | | |
| Date of 4th Review meeting | | | |
| City Manager | M | Signature | |

M-S
Page 21 of 23 -

M.J

PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

MUNICIPALITY:

MANGAUNG METRO MUNICIPALITY

INCUBENT:

MOKETE VICTOR DUMA

SALARY:

R138232.97

JOB TITTLE:

HEAD: CORPORATE SERVICES

REPORT TO:

CITY MANAGER

- 1. What are the competencies required for this job (refer to competency profile of job description)?
- -Planning and development
- -Stakeholders relations and communications
- -Financial management and control
- -Asset and resource (material & tools) management
- -Governance and risk
- -Monitoring and reporting
- What are competencies from the above list, does the job holder already possess?
- -Financial management and control
- -Planning and development
- -Human resource management
- -Monitoring and reporting
- -Stakeholders relations and communications
- -Executive council support
- What then are the competency gaps? (if the job holder possesses all the necessary

SJ

Page 22 of 23

WA

M-S

W

M .

| | competencies, complete No's 5 and 6). | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | Assets and resources | | | |
| • | Information technology | | | |
| • | Property fleet management | | | |
| 4. | Actions/Training interventions to address the gaps/needs | | | |
| | Skill development through accredited training | | | |
| | Bursary provision | | | |
| 5. | Indicate the competencies required for future career progression/development | | | |
| | Completion of Masters in Public Administration | | | |
| 6. | Action/Training interventions to address future progression | | | |
| | Master in Constitutional Law Focusing on Local Government Legislation | | | |
| 7. | Comments/Remarks of the Incumbent | | | |
| | | | | |
| | I am intending to enroll for Doctoral Degree in Public Management | | | |
| 8. | Comments/Remarks of the supervisor | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| Agreed upon | | | | |
| | 1 | | | |

Signature:

Supervisor:

Date:

Signature:

Incumbent:

Date:

MOKETE DUMPS 16 07 2025

Page 23 of 23

M-5